

*Criminal Code***CRIMINAL CODE**

AMENDMENTS TO GIVE CERTAIN RIGHTS TO POLICE

The House resumed consideration of the motion of Mr. Blais that Bill C-26, to amend the Criminal Code, the Crown Liability Act and the Post Office Act, be read the second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Justice and Legal Affairs.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Turner): Order, please. It being 9.45 o'clock, pursuant to order made earlier this day, it is my duty to interrupt the proceedings now before the House. The House will proceed now to the recorded division on second reading and reference of Bill C-26.

Call in the members.

The House divided on the motion, (Mr. Blais) which was agreed to on the following division:

(Division No. 31)

YEAS

Messrs.

Abbott	Foster	McKinnon
Alexander	Francis	McRae
Andras	Fraser	Munro
(Port Arthur)	Friesen	(Hamilton East)
Andre	Gauthier	Murta
(Calgary Centre)	(Roberval)	Neil
Appolloni (Mrs.)	Gauthier	Nielsen
Baker	(Ottawa-Vanier)	Oberle
(Gander-Twillingate)	Gillespie	Olivier
Baker	Goodale	Paproski
(Grenville-Carleton)	Goyer	Patterson
Basford	Guilbault	Pearsall
Bawden	Halliday	Penner
Beatty	Hamilton	Philbrook
Blais	(Qu'Appelle-Moose	Pigott (Mrs.)
Blaker	Mountain)	Poulin
Blouin	Hargrave	Prud'homme
Bussièeres	Harquail	Railton
Campbell (Miss)	Herbert	Raines
(South Western Nova)	Hopkins	Reid
Caouette	Huntington	Ritchie
(Villeneuve)	Isabelle	Robinson
Clark	Jamieson	Roche
(Rocky Mountain)	Jarvis	Rooney
Coates	Kempling	Schellenberger
Condon	Knowles	Scott
Corbin	(Norfolk-Haldimand)	Sharp
Cyr	Lachance	Smith
Danson	Lalonde	(Churchill)
Daudlin	Lang	Smith
Dawson	Lapointe	(Saint-Jean)
Demers	MacDonald	Stewart
Dionne	(Cardigan)	(Marquette)
(Northumberland-	MacGuigan	Stollery
Miramichi)	Macquarrie	Towers
Douglas	Maine	Trudel
(Bruce-Grey)	Malone	Turner
Dupont	Marshall	Watson
Duquet	Mazankowski	Whelan
Ellis	McCain	Whittaker
Elzinga	McIsaac	Woolliams
Faulkner	McKenzie	Yanakis—108.
Fleming	McKinley	

NAYS

Messrs.

Blackburn	Gilbert	La Salle
Broadbent	Knowles	Nystrom
De Bané	(Winnipeg	Symes—8.
	North Centre)	

[Mr. Murta.]

● (2207)

Mr. Speaker: I declare the motion carried.

Bill read the second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Justice and Legal Affairs.

Mr. Baker (Grenville-Carleton): Mr. Speaker, on a point of order, there was an indication given to the House that we would be dealing with report stage of pipeline legislation tomorrow. Is there someone who can confirm that?

Mr. Munro (Hamilton East): Mr. Speaker, I am advised that is correct.

PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT MOTION

[English]

A motion to adjourn the House under Standing Order 40 deemed to have been moved.

MANPOWER—APPLICATION FORMS FOR FARMERS SEEKING OFFSHORE WORKERS

Mr. William Knowles (Norfolk-Haldimand): Mr. Speaker, I rise tonight to expand on a question that I asked the Minister of Employment and Immigration (Mr. Cullen) on March 15 relating to offshore labour to assist farmers in my area, and later on tobacco farmers. The question I asked the minister was why the Manpower centres and farm labour pools across Ontario require farmers who apply for offshore labour to sign blank application forms which give Manpower the right to write in wages at a later date without consulting the farmer. The minister said, among other things, one sentence that meant something to me at the time, and it is as follows:

I do not think it is appropriate that they should be signing blank application forms. I will check it out. I thank the hon. member for his representation.

Since then the minister has written me a letter at some length trying to explain exactly what the officials in the Manpower centres have been trying to do. To set the record straight, I should like to read the blank form the farmers were asked to sign. It begins as follows:

THIS IS TO CONFIRM that I understand and agree that the workers I have requested on ORDER NO.....

FOR.....employment

(crop/occupation)

WILL BE PAID at the prevailing wage levels now being determined by the Canada Employment and Immigration Commission . . .

The farmer is asked to sign that without any wage being stated. Surely the farmer has a right to know what wages he is expected to pay before he signs a document such as that.