

Order for Return

KEJIMKUJIK NATIONAL PARK

Question No. 2,282—Mr. Crouse:

Has the government reached a decision for the establishment in Nova Scotia of a section of coastline which will be part of but separate from Kejimkujik National Park and, if so (a) what are the boundaries of the area selected (b) what amount has been set aside for this development (c) on what date will work on this project commence?

Mr. Judd Buchanan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development): No conclusions have been reached on this proposal as discussions with the Province have not been finalized. (a) Not applicable; (b) Not applicable; (c) Not applicable.

QUESTION PASSED AS ORDER FOR RETURN

PROSECUTIONS UNDER COMBINES INVESTIGATION ACT

Question No. 1,859—Mr. Orlikow:

1. In the past year, in how many cases did the government charge and prosecute companies for violations of the Combines Investigation Act, except for cases under section 33(c)?

2. In each case (a) what were the names of the companies involved (b) what were the names of the companies found guilty and what were the penalties imposed by the Court?

3. What were the costs to the government of investigating and prosecuting the above cases, exclusive of the salaries of permanent government employees, but including fees paid to lawyers, court reporters and other officials, travelling expenses for officers of the department, cost of transcripts, etc.?

Return tabled.

Mr. Howard (Skeena): Mr. Speaker, I wonder if I might, on a question of privilege, draw the attention of Your Honour and the government to a series of questions, Nos. 1,709, 1,723, 1,724 and 1,727, standing in my name since September and seeking information relating to what was in fact an invasion of privacy in the community of Atlin in northern British Columbia in respect of the activities of customs officers and RCMP. Inasmuch as the people of Atlin were very much concerned about the matter at that time, and are concerned about the answers to the questions advanced on their behalf, I wonder if we might have some indication as to when those answers might be received.

Mr. Jerome: Mr. Speaker, we will try to answer those questions just as soon as possible.

Mr. Carter: Mr. Speaker, my question No. 1,343 has been on the order paper since April 27 and I wonder whether we can have an answer to it during the next session.

Mr. Jerome: Mr. Speaker, I have made a note of this and will look into it.

Mr. Burton: Mr. Speaker, I should like to ask the parliamentary secretary to look into questions Nos. 1,738, 1,739 and 1,740 which were submitted on September 21 and which ask for very simple statistical information of the; Department of Industry, Trade and Commerce, and also question No. 2,184 submitted on November 25 which asks a simple, straightforward question on the operations of the Temporary Wheat Reserves Act. I might state that these questions are of the type Your Honour very properly ruled from time to time should be placed on the order paper. It becomes rather difficult when there is such

[Mr. Olson.]

undue delay on the part of the government in answering questions placed on the order paper by members of the House.

Mr. Jerome: Mr. Speaker, as in the past we often come to a different assessment concerning what is and what is not a simple matter of statistics, but we will check these questions again to see if they cannot be answered at the earliest possible moment.

MOTIONS FOR PAPERS

Mr. J. A. Jerome (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, may I ask that all these motions be allowed to stand at this time.

Mr. Frank Howard (Skeena): Mr. Speaker, may I ask when we may expect a response to notice of motion for production of papers No. 247 in my name, and which also relates to questions I posed earlier. This motion deals with allegations made by officials of government about smuggling in that part of Canada that borders on Alaska. When might we expect a response to that notice of motion.

Mr. Jerome: Mr. Speaker, the list of notices of motions which has been transferred for debate, of course, is already considerably longer than any list that could possibly be dealt with during the present session. We are making every effort to determine which of the remaining notices of motions we can respond to in the House, short of transferring them for debate. I will include the hon. member's notice of motion in the list we are considering.

ORAL QUESTION PERIOD**AIR TRANSPORT**

HIJACKING—REQUEST FOR STATEMENT ON PREVENTIVE MEASURES

Mr. James A. McGrath (St. John's East): Mr. Speaker, may I direct a question to the Minister of Transport. In the absence of the statement which we hoped the minister would make today, may I ask the minister if he can assure the House that, as a result of the most recent and successful hijacking which took place in Canada, all the necessary security precautions are being put into immediate effect at all airports across the country and if the minister will perhaps reconsider his position and make a statement on this question in order to put the public mind at rest.

Hon. Donald C. Jamieson (Minister of Transport): Mr. Speaker, am I to gather that the hon. member's question is addressed to me?

Mr. McGrath: Mr. Speaker, I could rephrase the question.

Mr. Jamieson: No, Mr. Speaker. I heard the question but I did not hear the first part of it. The answer is, of course,