

elected to this House for a long time. If he is successful in his venture, I will want to know what good this program is and why we should continue it. We hire people at salaries of \$40,000 or \$50,000 per year to run the program. If they cannot bring in industry, and an untrained member can, I wonder why we should continue this program. Perhaps the hon. member for Lanark and Renfrew should travel around the world in search of industry for his area.

If any designation plan is to work, it must provide incentives which will encourage industry to move to growth centres. I highly recommend that the two ridings in Renfrew county and Parry Sound-Muskoka be supported, because they are in a position to take advantage of this type of program.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order, please. It being six o'clock I do now leave the chair.

At six o'clock the House took recess.

AFTER RECESS

The House resumed at 8 p.m.

GOVERNMENT ORDERS

COMPANY OF YOUNG CANADIANS ACT

PROVISION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COMPTROLLER

The House resumed consideration of the motion of Mr. Pelletier that Bill C-171, to amend the Company of Young Canadians Act be read the second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Broadcasting, Films and Assistance to the Arts.

Mr. Orlikow: Mr. Speaker, in the time I had before five o'clock I tried to set forth the basic principles which members of our party feel are important in respect of the establishment of the Company of Young Canadians. My colleague and I, the hon. member for Fraser Valley West (Mr. Rose), who acted on the committee found the task extremely difficult and extremely heart-breaking because we heard a great deal which we felt was legitimate criticism of an ideal which we had supported from the beginning. We therefore came to the reluctant conclusion that we would have to go along with the committee's report that it might well be necessary to establish a temporary trusteeship to supervise

Company of Young Canadians Act

the activities of the Company of Young Canadians.

I wish to make clear that some of my colleagues, members of the New Democratic Party, possibly because they did not have the opportunity to hear all the evidence we heard, are not completely satisfied with our approach. We were not very happy either. We agreed, with a great deal of reluctance, to the proposal for a trusteeship. We had two basic objections to the proposal for a trusteeship. First of all, the committee heard a great deal about the real or alleged wrongdoings of the various volunteers. Because of the deadline under which it was operating, it did not give an opportunity to the volunteers or volunteer organizations to answer the charges, if they could, which were made against them. It seems to us that justice must not only be done; it must appear to be done. In our opinion, that could not be done if we did not give the opportunity to the volunteers to reply to the charges made against them. Second, the committee did not have the time it ought to have had to visit at least some of the projects which are in operation. We did agree, however, with the recommendation of the committee that a three-man trusteeship be established for the company.

The bill introduced by the minister today would have the effect of appointing a one-man trusteeship. This trustee will not only be able to supervise the accounting procedures which are necessary, and to which I shall return, but he will have the authority to make sure that no contract which the company board or the company director wishes to make with a volunteer can be put into effect without the approval of the financial administrator. We cannot accept that. Unless the minister agrees at a later stage to withdraw this provision in the bill, we cannot vote for it. I am a very harsh critic of the company, but I will not be able to vote for this bill because I cannot agree to a one-man trusteeship for an indefinite period, particularly when the minister says that in the very near future he intends to introduce legislation which will bring about substantive changes to the organization and policies of the company.

It seems to us completely superfluous to have anything more than a temporary financial administrator who will make sure that the money expended is expended and accounted for properly. We believe the officials in the minister's department who have been looking into the accounting practices of the company ought to be asked to make