EDITORIAL:

PAGE

Monetary Times

Trade Review and Insurance Chronicle of Canada

Address: Corner Church and Court Streets, Toronto, Ontario, Canada Telephone: Main 7404, Branch Exchange connecting all departments. Cable Address: "Montimes, Toronto."

Winnipeg Office: 1206 McArthur Building. Telephone Main 3409. G. W. Goodall, Western Manager.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Six Months	Three Months	Single Cor
\$1.75	\$1.00	10 Cent

ADVERTISING RATES UPON REQUEST.

The Monetary Times was established in 1867, the year of Confederation. It absorbed in 1869 The Intercolonial Journal of Commerce, of Montreal; in 1870 The Trade Review, of Montreal; and the Toronto Journal of Commerce.

The Monetary Times does not necessarily endorse the statements and opinions of its correspondents, nor does it hold itself responsible therefor.

The Monetary Times invites information from its readers to aid in excluding from its columns fraudulent and objectionable advertisements. All information will be treated confidentially.

SUBSCRIBERS PLEASE NOTE:

When changing your mailing instructions, be sure to state fully both your old and your new address.

All mailed papers are sent direct to Friday evening trains. Any subscriber who receives his paper late will confer a favor by complaining to the circulation department.

British Labor's Constitutional Challenge 9 The Railroad Rate Controversy Earning Capacity of the Average Man 10 SPECIAL ARTICLES: Life Underwriters' Association 1920 Convention Harvesting in Full Swing in the West Crops Holding Up Well The Ontario Insurance Department and the Field Quebec's Surplus Over One Million Dollars Contract Relating to Management of Estate Municipal Legislation in Alberta MONTHLY DEPARTMENTS: Insurance Companies Secure Dominion Licenses.... Building Permits WEEKLY DEPARTMENTS: Bank Branch Notes

News of Industrial Development in Canada...... 28
Government and Municipal Bond Market....... 34

News of Municipal Finance

 Corporation Securities Market
 38

 Corporation Finance
 42

 Recent Fires
 44

PRINCIPAL CONTENTS

tive than the House of Commons, and you may be summoned to sit permanently as a committee of national safety."

It is, therefore, the method rather than the view of labor which is now meeting with popular disapproval. The fact

that the question of a war with Russia had already been settled in the negative may be the reason why labor was led to take such a threatening attitude.

BRITISH LABOR'S CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE

O RGANIZED labor in Great Britain has taken an unequivocal stand against war with Russia. On August 13 labor and trade union executives organized a "council of action" with power to call for any and every form of withdrawal of labor so far as necessary to prevent military or naval operations against Russia. J. H. Thomas, M.P., pointed out that this was a challenge to the whole constitution of the country. W. Adamson, chairman of the parliamentary labor party, said that unwarranted interference with the government of other people would not be tolerated. "We believe," he said, "in the inalienable right of any nation to choose its own form of government."

The labor party's view of the political situation may be wiser than that of the government. Some of the principles which it upholds seem thoroughly in accord with the North American viewpoint. Secret diplomacy is deplored, and when public opinion is so strongly set against war, only an undemocratic government could enter into one. Governments make mistakes only too often, and it is not uncommon for the judgment of a group to be wiser than that of the representatives of the nation as a whole.

What is significant, however, and perhaps prophetic, in the action of the British labor party is the erection of a new authority, to which the members will accord their support, in opposition to the government. This is direct action, not only industrial but political as well. When labor tried to enforce the nationalization of the coal mines in opposition to the expressed wishes of the people, it did so by direct economic action. Its industrial power will be made use of to prevent war with Russia; for instance, it threatens to cut off France's coal supply if the latter country refuses to send coal to Russia. The purpose in this case is purely political, and the "council of action" is, therefore, a political body, not subordinate to the constitution, but co-ordinate with it and guaranteed the support of the labor party. "I tell you solemnly and seriously," said Robert Williams, a British labor leader, "that you are infinitely more representa-

THE RAILROAD RATE CONTROVERSY

THE United States had some difficulty in adjusting the wages of railroad employees for the coming year; when this was done, rates were then increased in a scientific method, under the new legislation enacted at the last session of congress. Canada had no difficulty in settling the new wages, but any increase in rates is being fought bitterly by municipalities, boards of trade, the manufacturers and the west as a whole. Perhaps this departure from the action of the United States is merely a method of asserting our independence, but it is strange that the opponents of higher rates were indifferent to the substantial increase in operating costs. If we follow the action of the United States in adjusting wages, why should we not do the same as regards rates? If the economic conditions in the two countries are sufficiently similar to have identical wage schedules, is it not also reasonable to have corresponding freight and passenger tariffs for the roads?

Judging from the arguments before the Board of Railway Commissioners, there seems to be only one weak spot in the case for the railways. This is the fact that the Canadian Pacific is still able to pay a substantial dividend. The earning of a dividend upon capital, at least in public utility and railroad operation, now seems to be a crime against the community. In fact, the ability to pay operating expenses appears to be sufficient reason for a lower rate agitation. This at any rate is the situation with the Canadian National, which last year failed to pay operating expenses by the substantial deficit of \$47,000,000. This, together with the interest on the capital obligations assumed,