

*Inquiries of the Ministry*

**Mr. Diefenbaker:** The Secretary of State said they were foreign awards.

**Mr. Jack Marshall (Humber-St Georges'-St. Barbe):** Would the minister tell us whether a report has been prepared on the reclassification of military awards and, if so, would he be prepared to table it in the House?

**Mr. Cadieux (Labelle):** I am not aware that such a report has been prepared. It is intended to prepare such a report when the new awards are decided upon.

**Mr. W. B. Nesbitt (Oxford):** Will these awards come under the auspices of the minister, as we hope, or under the auspices of the Secretary of State?

**An hon. Member:** As we do not hope.

**Mr. Cadieux (Labelle):** I think it is likely to develop this way: the decorations committee would look after the civilian side of awards and I would hope that the Minister of National Defence would deal with the military awards.

**Some hon. Members:** Hear, hear!

**Mr. Marshall:** I should like to direct this supplementary question to the Prime Minister. Is the Prime Minister aware of a report prepared on the reclassification of military awards and would he be prepared to table such report?

**Right Hon. P. E. Trudeau (Prime Minister):** I am not aware of such a report, Mr. Speaker. I do know, as the Minister of National Defence has said, that work is being done to establish awards which would supplement the present ones and which would be tied in with the Order of Canada awards instituted some time ago. As far as I know this is being done under the auspices of Government House and not of any particular minister.

**Right Hon. J. G. Diefenbaker (Prince Albert):** The Secretary of State is not here today, being on the official absentee list. He gave us to understand yesterday that the report was in the hands of the Prime Minister. Is there any basis for that statement? The chairman of the committee is the Undersecretary of State.

**Mr. Trudeau:** I am not aware of the precise nature of the report to which the right hon. gentleman refers. I repeat that work is being done by officials under, I believe, the titular supervision of Government House rather than of any minister. Of course, the Undersecretary of State and other officials are members

of such a working group. If they have produced a report and have sent it to my office, I have not yet taken cognizance of it.

## ENERGY

POLICY RESPECTING INTEGRATION OF U.S.  
AND CANADIAN RESOURCES

**Mr. G. W. Baldwin (Peace River):** Mr. Speaker, I should like to ask the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources whether, since his original discussions in Washington with Secretary Hickel, there have been further communications which would have the effect of varying the original proposals discussed in Washington?

**Hon. J. J. Greene (Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources):** Mr. Speaker, I have been in communication with Mr. Hickel since that date, but there have been no specific proposals with the exception of my specific proposal to Mr. Hickel that it would be in the interests of the United States as well as Canada that we be afforded a wide-open market for our crude oil in the United States.

**Mr. John Burton (Regina East):** A supplementary question, Mr. Speaker. Would the minister table in the House any relevant documents that are made available or issued in regard to this matter either by the Canadian government or the United States government? Is the minister also prepared to table now the studies that were carried out by the Canadian government relating to energy policy, particularly the feasibility study on an oil pipeline from western Canada to Montreal?

**Mr. Greene:** Mr. Speaker, such documents will be tabled by me as are in accord with the rules of the House as well as in accord with the public interest. With respect to studies of pipelines from the west to Montreal, I believe the Borden report is a public document and is a fairly comprehensive study dealing with that issue. I would refer the hon. member to it.

**Mr. Burton:** A further supplementary question. In view of the fact that the minister has established an advisory team consisting of oil industry executives to advise him on oil policy, would the minister consider expanding this committee or establishing a separate committee composed of people who will be able to advise him on matters affecting the public interest?