

16. THE DEFENCE BUDGET

Haglund, David G., "Les missiles de croisière, aéroports soviétiques et la géopolitique de la défense aérienne de l'Amérique du nord: une nouvelle perspective du nord canadien." *Études internationales*, vol. 19 no. 2 (June 1988), pp. 245-272.

Kattenburg, D., "Sneaking into Canada." *Nation*, vol. 246 no. 8 (February 1988), pp. 266-268.

CROSS REFERENCES**Arctic Sovereignty and Security**

The White Paper stated, however, that a "significant commitment-control" still existed in the structure of the Canadian Forces; the result of long-term decisions on defence spending. The Government intended that through reorganization of some of its commitments—namely Canadian Forces equipment—an established long-term funding programme, the gap would be closed.¹³

To accomplish this, the White Paper announced a new method for establishing the defence budget, based on annual increases of two percent real growth with additional funding to be determined in financial reviews. The defined purpose was to allow for long-term planning, particularly with major equipment procurement in mind.

The White Paper listed a number of major equipment purchases planned for the fifteen-year period, including new land, nuclear-powered submarines, plane aircraft, and communications systems. Most obvious were the increases in the budget closer to five percent annual real growth rates necessary to implement the procurement programme.

CURRENT CANADIAN POSITION

The 1989-1990 Canadian budget represented a watershed for the Department of National Defence (DND). The Canadian Government's fiscal restraint plan caused the planned budget for DND to be cut back severely. Total planned budgetary expenditures for the Canadian Government in 1989-1990 are \$142.9 billion. Of this, it is estimated that \$11.34 billion, or 7.94 percent, will be spent by DND. This is an increase of 0.9 percent over the 1988-1989 planned expenditures of \$11.24 billion.

The Department's share of the Government's deficit reduction programme for 1989-1990 is \$575 million, representing 37.2 percent of the total expenditure restraint measures of \$1.545 billion. For 1990-1991, DND's share of the restraint measure is estimated to be \$611 million, or 29.4 percent of the \$2.079 billion total. Over the next five years, it is expected that a total of \$2.7 billion will be saved through cuts to planned defence expenditures.

Much of the savings in the next five years is expected to come from reductions in capital acquisitions. This year's budget initiated this process by canceling plans for Canada to purchase ten to twelve nuclear-powered submarines (see Major Equipment