

es are broken up for a forenoon to carry it into effect the time for reformation of old practices has arrived.

If we are to have the 'rush,' above all let there be about it nothing mean, or personal or brutal or unfair.

QUEEN'S AND THE CHURCH.

At the time of the death of Principal Grant there were forces at work to sever the ties that bind Queen's to the Presbyterian Church. The General Assembly, however, was pronounced in its determination to retain Queen's under its control. The movement for separation was consequently dropped. At this time, too, funds were needed to meet the expanding needs of the various departments. The church, through its representative body, the Assembly, undertook to raise \$500,000. It appointed capable and enthusiastic men to handle the campaign for funds, set them upon the road and relapsed into condition of apparent indifference concerning the success of their mission. Something is radically wrong in the position of Queen's. If her connection with the church is to be maintained, to the church she must look for her main source of support. And for the church to neglect the needs of its largest educational institution when it has drawn it back from a course of action that would have advanced its interests, is an act of most serious import. No one objects to the present allegiance between Queen's and the church—at least those who know Queen's do not. It is not manifested in her policy, but it undoubtedly alienates the active sympathy of men of wealth and influence. In the progress and development of Queen's they may show deep interest but the tendency is to shift to the church the responsibility for her support. In introducing the Bill respecting Toronto University, Premier Whitney, after referring to the intention of his government to devote the amount realized from succession duties to the maintenance of educational institutions, said, "I said also at that time that it is not possible to ignore in the consideration of this very important question the subject of Queen's University. With reference to that the situation to-day is materially changed. . . . As it stood then there was apparently a determination to separate Queen's from the control of the Presbyterian Church and it became possible that Queen's might have a great deal to ask in the near future." This, too, is only typical of the tendency to look to the church for support after the clear-cut, unequivocal action of the General Assembly.

It is useless to lament. Queen's students and graduates are loyal to her and will remain loyal. It is for them to rally to her support. They have not millions to give her, but they have influence in Ontario and Canada, and this influence should be exerted to arouse the church to a sense of its responsibility and men of other denominations to a sense of the important part Queen's plays in the educational affairs of the country.

THE Q AND THE PURPOSES IT SERVES.

To the title above might have been added "and how it serves them." In the first issue the JOURNAL gave expression to some of the current criticisms of