

NG!

LEY
CO.

Columbia

00,000), divided
each, par
sable.

LENNAN
& Co., Limited., Wholesale
of Vancouver Board of
ancouver, B.C.

MacKECHNIE, M.D.
ver, B.C.

TEWART
., Wholesale Provisions,
ver, B.C.

N ROGERS
ancouver, B.C.

ers:
MONTREAL
er, B.C.

Crown Granted Land, and is
m the town of Nicola, half
ge, on the main line of the
sists of 2661 acres of coal-
thickness.

for traffic in January, 1907,

company at Vancouver, B.C.

AN

ANCOUVER, B.C.

the Company.

LONDON, S.E.

The Monetary Times

Absorbed the INTERCOLONIAL JOURNAL OF COMMERCE, 1869;
the TRADE REVIEW, Montreal, 1870; and the JOURNAL OF
COMMERCE, Toronto.

Vol. 40—No. 31.

Montreal, Toronto, Winnipeg, February 2nd, 1907.

Fifteen Cents.

The Monetary Times

A JOURNAL OF CANADA IN THE TWENTIETH CENTURY.

PUBLISHED EVERY SATURDAY BY THE MONETARY TIMES

PRINTING COMPANY:

President - THOS. ROBERTSON Managing Director - ARTHUR HAWES
Vice-President - JAS. HEDLEY Sec'y-Treas. - EDGAR A. WILLS

THE MONETARY TIMES was established in 1867, the year of Confederation. It ab-
sorbed in 1869, THE INTERCOLONIAL JOURNAL OF COMMERCE of Montreal; in 1870,
THE TRADE REVIEW, of Montreal; and THE TORONTO JOURNAL OF COMMERCE

Present Terms of Subscription, payable in advance:

Canada, Great Britain and United States:		Other Countries:	
One Year	\$2.00	One Year	\$2.50
Six Months	1.25	Six Months	1.50
Three Months	0.75	Three Months	1.00

ADVERTISEMENT RATES ON APPLICATION.

(Advertising Manager, J. J. SALMOND)

HEAD OFFICE: 92 Church Street, and Court Street, Toronto.

Winnipeg Office: Free Press Building, Portage Avenue. Business Representa-
tive: Geo. W. Goodall.

Montreal Office: 332 Board of Trade Building. A. H. Clapp.

Vancouver Office: Northern Bank Building, Hastings Street. Agents:—The
British Columbia Agency Corporation.

In no case should letters in connection with MONETARY TIMES affairs be sent to
individuals, whose absence from the office may lead to delay in dealing
with them.

All mailed papers are sent direct to Friday evening trains.
Subscribers who receive them late or not at all, will confer a
favor by reporting to the Circulation Department.

PUBLIC REVENUE FROM CANALS.

That the waterpower of the various canals of Canada may be made the source of enormous public revenue, is constantly becoming more apparent. We find that the revenue from canals in the fiscal year 1906 was only \$108,067. As there are no longer any tolls on the tonnage passing through Canadian canals, the revenue must have been derived from the water-powers. That \$108,067 is a ridiculously inadequate revenue from this source, is at once manifest. On the Lachine Canal at Montreal, it is estimated that 6,700-horse-power is available. Twenty-four years ago it was recommended that the value of this power should be placed at \$12 per horse-power. In a report just made, \$18 to \$20 is recommended as the present value. It would also appear from this report that the holders of the leases on this canal have been having an easy time for many years, and that the majority of them have been taking more power than they were entitled to. Presumably, the price charged them was very low. So that it is no wonder that this source of revenue has not yielded anything like what it should. Otherwise, the Lachine Canal alone would have yielded more than the total revenue from all the canals.

The Beauharnois Canal has a still greater water-power. It is estimated that fully 8,000 horse-power are available there; yet a few weeks ago the Dominion Parliament leased this power to a Montreal syndicate, for twenty-one years, at an annual rental of \$12,000. This figures out to an annual charge of \$1.50 per horse-power. To this must be added the \$20,000 per year which it is estimated the syndicate will have to pay out in order to maintain the canal at a waterway for purposes of navigation, which sum, it is claimed, is now spent by the Government. This being so, the revenue might be regarded as \$4 per horse-power. The cost to the syndicate will be somewhat in excess of this figure, as it is bound to assume whatever capital

PRINCIPAL CONTENTS OF THIS ISSUE.

Editorial:	Page.
Public Revenue from Canals	1181
Government Savings Banks	1182
Pleading for Light	1182
Editorial Notes	1183
Special Correspondence:	
New Car Works for Montreal	1189
Winnipeg Debentures	1191
Opportunities in British Columbia	1192
England and Canada	1193
All Roads Lead to Halifax	1195
From St. John Waters, New Brunswick	1195
Banking and Financial:	
Stock Exchange and Clearing House Figures	1185
Dominion Bank Annual Meeting	1188
British Mortgage Loan Company Annual Meeting	1197
Commercial Markets:	
Toronto, Montreal, Halifax	1194
Mining:	
New Items	1185
Public Money:	
Money and Municipalities	1190
Insurance Chronicle:	
Life News Notes	1186
Fire News Notes	1187
Factory Faults	1187

outlays are required, including an expenditure, at the outset, of some \$60,000.

When it is remembered that users of electric power in the city of Montreal are paying all the way from \$40 to \$60—probably more frequently the latter—per horse-power, the margin of profit for the lessees of the Beauharnois Canal would seem to be so large as to justify the people in expecting that the Government would have obtained a very much higher price than it has.

When we add to foregoing, the 20,000 horse-power from the Soulanges Canal, which the Montreal, Light, Heat and Power Company expects to be in a position to deliver by the end of this year, we have a total of 34,900 horse-power developed in the canals contiguous to Montreal alone. These are only a few of Canada's canals, so that the total hydraulic power must be enormous.

It would not be possible to devise a more legitimate source of public revenue than the waterpower of the canals. No one can say of the canals that they have been built and developed at the cost of any private individual or enterprise. They were built for the Canadian public and by the Canadian public, and it would be well for the Canadian Government to see that they are treated as such.

None should be so quick to take fright at the slightest suspicion of any alienation of public revenue, as those whose investments have been made in the industrial and manufacturing concerns of the country. Public revenue must come from some source, and certainly the less contributed by outside sources, the more the manufacturers will have to contribute. There is little doubt that if the manufacturers of this country had always insisted upon the Government regarding public property and resources as the great source of public revenue, the taxation bogie would have long since assumed small proportions.