

We will next consider the reasons, as shown by the evidence,^{Reasons for Removal} why the petitioners were removed from office.

The trouble commenced with Mr. Greenway making a charge against President Murray to Mr. Dunning, minister of agriculture, of manipulation of funds based on information which had been in Greenway's possession for a very long period, without his taking any action on it. At the same time he charged that only a small number of the faculty were satisfied with the president's administrative methods. Mr. Greenway may not have charged that the majority of the faculty were disloyal, but he did charge a want of confidence in President Murray's administrative methods, and in the result the meaning is the same. The evidence shows that each and every one of these charges was untrue and had no foundation in fact, and Mr. Greenway subsequently withdrew them in writing before the Board of Governors. Previous to this, the minister had requested President Murray, and Mr. Rutherford, dean of the faculty of agriculture, to see him in Regina, when he told them what Mr. Greenway had said. He subsequently confirmed this by letter. The president laid the matter before the Board of Governors of the university, and placed his resignation in their hands in case the charges should be proved correct. He also laid the matter before the university council, and it was discussed at a meeting of the council held on the 7th of April, 1919, and, later, a resolution was passed at a full meeting of the council on the 9th April, affirming confidence in the president and in his administration, and asserting the loyalty of the members of the faculty. The three professors in question, and Professor Adams, did not vote on the resolution, but subsequently filed reasons for not doing so, as follows:

1. They do not wish to join in any motion which might prejudice or reflect in any way upon Mr. Greenway until he returns from the Pacific Coast and may speak and be heard for himself on all charges made against him. ^{Minority "Minute"}

2. This council is not the proper body before whom to make these serious charges against Mr. Greenway. The charges alleged to have been made by Mr. Greenway were made to a Minister of the Crown and should be dealt with by