

New executive will include ULS, Scotton says

By ROSEMARY McCracken

Anne Scotton, president-elect of CYSF, calls herself a right-wing candidate compared to United Left Slate runner-up Dale Ritch.

"But I shouldn't be called a fascist. I think of myself as a democratic socialist," said Scotton. In a wide-ranging interview Monday, Scotton told Excalibur she thinks she'll be a very different president than Michael Mouritsen.

"Mike's regime has lacked warmth because he's been continually put on the defensive. He is always apologizing. He certainly hasn't been anything less than he promised to be. Mike's been a responsible and articulate administrator. He has handled the duties necessary to his job. The budget was always in good control and there have been no major scandals during Mike's term of office," said Scotton.

Scotton said she first decided to run for president because she didn't like the calibre of the candidates who had entered the presidential race.

"As president I want to utilize CYSF facilities in order to reinforce its affiliation with the colleges. Up until now CYSF has been very isolated from the students. Its main feat has been maintaining itself.

"CYSF is failing to represent the colleges from which its members come." College representatives don't report back to their college councils after a CYSF meeting, said Scotton.

Scotton sees a firm stand against the government increase of tuition fees as the most important step that CYSF can take in the coming year. She believes that this stand can be accomplished through representation in the Ontario Federation of Students.

Scotton announced that she intended to have some representation from the United Left Slate on her executive, because "they are a definable group."

"I think the ULS is fine in opposition but I don't feel that they are realistic. They would endanger the credibility of CYSF to both the administration and the taxpayers. For all the ULS's talk during elections, I have as yet to see the scrip bank they recommended."

WOULD SHARE SALARY

Scotton said she does not mind her salary being shared with other CYSF members. "If other members are doing a lot of work it is not fair that all the money should go to one person. I'll

suggest a strict definition of who does what work to the council."

Scotton said she "has contemplated setting up a percentage system" whereby a percentage of CYSF's budget (a non-negotiable figure) would be allotted to Excalibur, Radio York and Harbinger. This percentage would reflect CYSF's budget as well as the student enrolment. "These agencies shouldn't exist in a vacuum when they have been classified by CYSF as having necessary functions. It distresses me to see them have to go begging for money," said Scotton.

Scotton said she thinks it "unfair" that as a presidential candidate she was continually asked whether she supported women's rights while male candidates were not asked similar questions.

ADVOCATE OF WOMEN'S RIGHTS

"I've always campaigned for women's rights. I've worked for abortion on demand; in high school I suggested to the school board that contraceptive information be given in health classes."

Scotton is concerned about women having equal advantages in procuring teaching jobs at

York and hopes to conduct an investigation into this area.

"I'll never accept condescension and patronization because I'm a woman, but I like being a woman and intend to take full advantage of women's privileges. Women should not dictate to other women or the situation becomes similar to men judging women," Scotton said.

Scotton sees Cosmicon as her most important achievement over the past year as president of Winters College council.

"Social events are very important for they help students to focus their lives in an essentially anonymous university setting. Entertainment encourages students to stay on campus after school hours and develop a feeling for the university," she said.

Scotton, 20, has been a member of the NDP since she was 14, working through the young NDP clubs in Ottawa and in a riding association. She organized the provincial NDP campaign at York and worked in the federal campaign.

Scotton's future plans include both working in politics through the NDP and law school. She writes the Law School Admission Tests in April.

Excalibur

Vol. 8 No. 25

York University Community Newspaper

March 21, 1974



Who says continued exposure to Versa food isn't dangerous? We are told that this fellow was on meal plan D and found he had 200 dollars of unused scrip. Last week he was eating at the Central Square

cafeteria every moment that it was open. Notice the adverse affect his continual consumption of Versa chow has had on his complexion and teeth. Said a friend, "It was the Kentucky Fried penguin, I think."

C.T. Squassero photo

Banfield banished students agitate

By MICHAEL FORMAN

Reacting to student disruption last week of invited speaker Edward Banfield, the University of Toronto Faculty Association has demanded that university president John Evans implement a discipline code. If Evans failed to act, the faculty association would ask for his resignation.

Banfield, a controversial American sociologist, was prevented from speaking by jeering students, organized by the Students for a Democratic Society (SDS) who label Banfield as racist. Banfield is a former adviser to the American Council for Urban Development, a federal U.S. agency, and has received heavy criticism from American intellectual circles for his published theories of class inferiority. Particular criticism is aimed at Banfield's comments on American blacks, which range from intellectual inferiority to irresponsible procreation.

Banfield's invitation to speak was extended by a group of American professors teaching at the U of T. When the student agitators failed to end their disruption after twenty minutes, Banfield left the stage, refusing to talk.

SDS CONDEMNED

The student action, and particularly that of the SDS, was condemned by the U of T faculty and administration. Though a disciplinary code was not ratified by the U of T administration earlier this year, new faculty demands are pressuring the university to re-examine its position. The disciplinary code had died in committee this January after strong student objection to it, led by the U of T's Student's Administrative Council (SAC).

Vice-President Don Forrester explained that the university was now determining which students were responsible and said punitive action would be taken under the "Caput." Caput is a disciplinary code unused since 1969. It has been described as more arbitrary than the new one up for review.

Forrester said the Caput would meet March 19 to determine what penalties would be set and what preventative action could be taken in the future. He mentioned the possible use of security personnel at future lectures that might generate reaction similar to Banfield's.

A statement issued by the National Black Coalition, March 14, commended the SDS action preventing Banfield's presentation. Contacted Tuesday, Charles Roach, counsel for the coalition, assured Excalibur that he and the coalition would be willing to present a personal brief to the Caput in defence of the student action. Roach admitted that no invitation had been issued, but said "Don't worry, we will be there."

NO YORK CODE

John Becker, assistant to the vice-president at York University, said Tuesday that York had no code or body like the Caput. A university court was drafted three years ago but never got past that stage. A brief entitled "Citizen's rights and responsibilities" was issued in September 1972, which describes the university's power of authority, empowered by the province under the York University Act. Becker commented that "the outline is tight enough to cover anything," including a disruption similar to the Banfield incident.

York reaction

Following the disruption of Edward Banfield's speech at the U of T last week, Michael Mouritsen, CYSF president and student senator, will present a motion to the university Senate at its March 28 meeting.

Mouritsen's motion will ask the York Senate to "endorse the principle of freedom of speech and support the University of Toronto's attempts to provide a platform for speakers of diverse views."

Mouritsen said that if the motion failed to reach the Senate at the upcoming meeting, he and 20 other Faculty of Arts councillors would request a special meeting of the Faculty of Arts Council to consider the issue. Mouritsen failed to have the motion placed on the agenda at the last meeting.

The necessary unanimous consent was prevented by student senator Joe Renda.

When questioned, Renda said he had never read any of Banfield's material, but was assured that he was racist after conversations with fellow students. He said his veto was an attempt to deny the Banfield issue any further publicity.

Compulsory meals out — Whew!

By J. W. BELTRAME

The University Food Service Committee defeated a motion to retain the compulsory meal plan for the next academic year.

The vote was two in favour of a compulsory meal plan, six against, and six abstentions.

The vote, on Monday, was taken after a lengthy debate in which representatives of Versa Food stated that if the university adopted an optional meal plan, there would be a reduction of 30 per cent in sales to the university. This would result in a loss of revenue of between \$200,000 and \$250,000.

V. L. Berg, of the senior administrator's office at Glendon, told Excalibur that it would be impossible to operate a food plan at York without a minimum guarantee to the caterer. Berg had proposed the motion that the university retain the compulsory meal plan.

"The purpose of the committee is to find some way of alleviating the yearly food deficit," he said. "The people voting against the proposal were not thinking of the university deficit, but voted as they did for some personal, selfish reason."

Versa maintained the loss in revenue would be about 30 per cent in sales to residence students. But Mike Hennessy, chairman of the finance and food plan sub-committee, said the loss may only be in the 10 to 15 per cent range.

Although the vote was intended to end discussion on the matter, the committee immediately sought more input before a final decision is taken.

"We have effectively taken \$200,000 away from the university food budget. Are people voting for their personal

preferences or are we trying to find a viable way to run a university food service?" Norman Crandles, of ancillary services, asked.

"What was blatantly obvious," Crandles later said, "was that the committee was to address itself to the problem of financing and it proposed a step that will further jeopardize the economic situation."

There was doubt among the committee whether the vote not to have a compulsory plan was binding.

Ioan Davies, chairman of the committee, claimed that in principle the vote was binding, but that "it might not be binding in reality seeing that it's obviously part of the package of the food service we're going to have."

"I think if they saw there wasn't a feasible package that would include the optional plan, then the committee might change its mind," he said.

Davies said the committee should investigate one caterer servicing the dining halls and cafeterias along with the question of the optional meal plan.

V. L. Berg said, "I would have thought that if a vote is taken, that should decide the issue. I don't have too much faith in that committee."

The decision of the food committee depends on a report currently being prepared by Crandles. The report will attempt to determine the viability of an optional meal plan against several different models.

The decision will then be passed on to Harry Knox, York's finance assistant vice-president, who in the past favoured the compulsory meal plan. Knox had nothing to say when asked to comment on the vote taken by the committee.