

able families in the city. But he wrote under the feeling that all slanderers do, viz., that perhaps his letter would never be seen here or noticed.

But let me give another quotation from this worthy's epistle for the benefit of His Lordship, Bishop Lewis, and the members of his congregation:—

"The Bishop of Ontario, Dr. John Travers Lewis, has taken a firm stand against the heresy, and delivered on the 22nd February an address which must have startled his congregation. It may be explained that Dr. Lewis, himself a High Churchman, and the best of our Canadian Prelates, in removing his chair to Ottawa, found in his Chapel a body of pronounced "Evangelists," these people being mostly of the 'Bourgeois gentilhomme' type, ignorant, bitter, and purse-proud, refuse to abandon their pew system, uphold a service which it is a penance to attend, and tyrannize over their Curate as they wish to do over their Bishop. To such an audience, untrained, I regret to say, in the rudiments of Catholic orthodoxy, because refusing to listen, and constantly threatening defection, he has unfolded the fact that union with schism is incompatible with continuance in our communion."

My Lord Bishop and Curate, how do you like the picture of your position and congregation? And gentlemen of the "Bishop's Chapel," how do you like the character given you by this maligners of the "Reformed Church," this attempted traducer of ladies' reputation, and this defender of the faith of the Church of England? You seem certainly a happy family after having got rid of the "schismatics of doubtful reputation."

Now who is this "Occasional Correspondent? Certainly not a layman. Layman do not talk of "our communion," and are not apt so frequently to quote French and Latin as this worthy does, but I forget, Bishop Lewis is stated to have said the members of the Church of England, as a rule, were "educated gentlemen," or something to that effect, perhaps this is one of the type, and a fine specimen he truly is. But the whole style of the communication plainly exhibits the "cloth" he wears; one of your sneaking, meek, sanctified hypocrites, who, under the garb of a Protestant minister, would poison the Church which he is sworn to uphold, who, like a snake, spits out his venom on the passer-by, and at the same time keeps himself concealed.

One more quotation, and I am done with this worthy son of the Church. Referring to those who have left the "Church" and joined the Reformed Church, he says, "Could all of it be drained, at whatever cost of temporary weakness, the Canadian Church, long smitten with the *Leprosy of Protestantism*, would become as she never yet has been '*Pulchra ut luna, electa ut sol, terribilis ut castrorum acies ordinata.*'"

The above scarcely needs comment—in fact the quotation itself is sufficient ground for any properthinking Protestant to come out from a Church calling itself Protestant, and supporting such infamous blasphemy.

OTTAWA, May 8th, 1874.

LUTHER II.