

median shade on the primaries, would, if caught, say in Reading, have hardly furnished one of Mr. Strecker's "coveted" novelties. Again, instances are on record where naturalists have first considered the American species the same as the European, and then changed their views and described them as distinct. An instance of this is offered by *Brephos infans*, first described as the same as the European *Brephos parthenias*. The amount of error is no greater in the one case than the other.

At the time that I commenced my labors, the difficulty of determining our species of moths was very great, certainly much greater than it is now. That this change is in part due to my work I think is true, equally so that Mr. Strecker is both unjust and ungrateful to omit the consideration from his mind. How much he himself is indebted to my labors may be seen by comparing my work on the genus *Catocala* with his own on the same subject. His figures and determinations are taken from the collection I studied and the paper I published; and, in reality, his figures merely supplement my original work. That Mr. Strecker has so generally coincided with me in his specific discriminations in the genus *Catocala*, is, I think, less a compliment to my correctness than a proof of Mr. Strecker's ready acceptance of assistance.

I have a few special remarks with which to conclude. I am blamed for retaining the name *C. ponderosa* instead of the earlier *C. nebulosa* || for a species of *Catocala*. From Linnæus to Lederer it has been customary to avoid the repetition of names in the same family of moths, and Gueneé has changed the name of a species of *Catocala* on account of an *Anarta* bearing the same specific title. I have never changed the name of another author on this account; my opinion (as, indeed, cited by Mr. Strecker) being that a fresh name is unnecessary. I have merely, where two names were attached to the same species, preferred the later when the earlier had been previously used. Whether my descriptions in the genus *Catocala* are the best, I will not dispute with Mr. Strecker; in his comments on *C. ponderosa* Mr. Strecker forgets that we figured the species, in justice to Mr. Wiest, the artist, I think very acceptably. Nor will I allow Mr. Strecker the proper authority to discuss the value of structural characters in the Lepidoptera, seeing that he has shown no experience in the matter, and is unable to discriminate even between the sexes of *Catocala* when the abdomen is wanting.

A. R. GROTE.