Prof. Zeller has described a great number of American species, and the types, in Lord Walsingham's possession, will be far more available for assistance in the determination of our indigenous species than they would be if placed in some continental museum. His Lordship has kindly given so much aid to those of us who are interested in his specialty, in this country, that we have sufficient reason to rejoice over the increased facilities for the study of our Micros which he will have in the possession of this far-famed collection.

Referring to his recent "Notes on American Tineidæ," Lord Walsingham wishes me to "point out with his concurrence and apologise for the error" that his genus *Idiostoma*—first characterized under the name *Idioglossa* in the Proc. Ent. Soc. of London, 1881, p. 273—is but a synonym of Frey and Boll's *Metamorpha*, Stet. Ent. Zeit., 1878, p. 277—the species described in the "Notes" as *americella* Wlsm., being the same as *M. miraculosa* Frey and Boll.

In this connection it may be well for me to change the names of two species of *Gelechia* described by me in the December number of the CAN. ENT. for 1881, the names there published being, as I am informed by Lord Walsingham, pre-occupied by European species in the same genus. The name *formosella* for the species rolling leaves of laurel oak, is hereby changed to *vernella*, in reference to its occurrence in spring-time only, so far as I have been able to observe. *G. cinerella*, the species mining and crumpling the edges of the leaves of *Solanum Carolinense*, may hereafter be known as *G. inconspicuella*.

The pretty little Lithocolletis described in the same paper under the name of L. gregariella, is, in Lord Walsingham's opinion, identical with Clemens' L. desmodiella (see "Notes," p. 202). Mr. Chambers, on the contrary, wrote me this spring that he was quite convinced that it was distinct from Clemens' species. Since specimens bred from the same plant and even from the same mine, vary in shade and in intensity of the ornamentation, it is not surprising that some quite marked differences should exist between examples mining Desmodium and those mining Phaseolus, and yet these differences may not be of specific value. Never having seen an undoubted specimen of desmodiella, 1 am not competent to express an opinion on this subject, and am quite willing to accept the determination of Lord Walsingham.

Kirkwood, Mo., June 12, 1883.

MARY E. MURTFELDT.