

LET THERE BE LIGHT.

"For every one that doeth evil hateth the light, neither cometh to the light, lest his deeds should be reformed. But he that doeth truth cometh to the light, that his deeds may be made manifest, that they are wrought in God."—John III., 20 and 21.

"The people who sat in darkness saw great light, and to them which sat in the region and shadow of death light is springing up."—Matt. IV., 16.

"That was the true light, which lighteth every man that cometh into the world."—John I., 9.

"He that sayeth he is in the light and hateth his brother is in darkness even until now.

"He that loveth his brother abideth in the light; and there is no occasion of stumbling in him.

"He that hateth his brother is in darkness and walketh in darkness and knoweth not whither he goeth, because that darkness hath blinded his eyes."—John I., 9, to 11.

"And God said "Let there be light," and there was light."—Gen. I., 8.

What Masons need most is more light, and in order to get that light they must hunt for it. They must go into the hidden mysteries, they must read masonic papers, masonic history, converse with posted masons, attend lectures, and the more you read the more light you will get, and then you will take more time to read. Then you will become interested in masonry, you will attend lodge meetings. We never knew a mason in our 20 years' experience who was a reader or a thinker but what was an actor, and those kind of masons are the men who have made Freemasonry the gigantic institution that it is to-day.—*Detroit Freemason.*

THE Grand Lodges of Spain and Delaware, have entered into fraternal relations with the Grand Lodge of New South Wales.

KNIGHT, FRATER, or SOVEREIGN.

That eminent brother, the Sovereign Great Prior of Canada, Col. W. J. B. Macleod Moore, says:—"I have long held that we have no right to the title of Knight, a rank in civil life which the 'Crown' only can confer. 'Brother' or 'Frater' is the correct term. To my mind, where Masonry is concerned, the term 'Knight' is ridiculous, and what appellation can be more appropriate, or better express the Christian character of modern Templary, than the endearing word of 'Brother' or 'Frater.'"

We have not the ghost of an ambition to be called "Sir Knight," but will some one please inform us if the Order of Templars is that of Knights Templars, are not its members "Knights?" A man may bear a commission as Colonel, and that signed by the royal hand of a sovereign; another may be "Colonel" of the Grand Army of the Republic, and have no commission at all (if we are correctly informed), yet one is a "Colonel" as much as the other. One holds an office in a peaceful organization, the other is an officer in an army of soldiers.

A Knight created by the King is one thing, a Knight created by the Masonic Order of the Temple, is a Knight Templar or nothing—perhaps both!

Will some one inform us also why our Canadian fratres call their chief officer Sovereign Great Prior? and whether it is not just as correct to call a Templar a "Knight," though he be not created by the Crown, as to call their chief, "Sovereign," when he is merely the head of a band of brothers—plebians?—*Masonic Home Journal.*

THE *Masonic Home Journal* thus laments the loss of a subscriber:—"A certain minister condemned our paper and would not subscribe for it because we insisted that in a Blue Lodge nothing smacking of sectarianism should be allowed. We smiled as we reflected."