

The Toronto World

An Independent Liberal Newspaper. Published every morning at five o'clock No. 4 King Street East.

Subscription prices: Weekly, one month, \$1.00; three months, \$2.50; six months, \$4.50; one year, \$8.00.

Advertisements: Single copies, one cent; sold on credit by arrangement in every city and town in Ontario, Quebec, and Manitoba.

Advertising rates: For one insertion, one cent per line; for one month, three cents per line; for three months, eight cents per line; for six months, fifteen cents per line; for one year, twenty cents per line.

Special notices, twenty-five per cent advance on the ordinary rates. Birth, marriage and death notices, TWENTY CENTS each.

Contract rates for display advertisements, per line, subject to change of matter, are as follows:

Table with 3 columns: Position, Daily, and Weekly. Rates for various ad positions.

Condensed advertisements are charged at the following rates: Situations Wanted, FREE; Help Wanted, Properties for Sale, Houses or Stores, to Rent, Houses or Stores Wanted, Board and Lodging, Rooms to Let, Rooms Wanted, Articles for Sale, Articles Wanted, Articles Lost or Found, Professions or Business Cards, Business Changes, Money Lending, Personal and Miscellaneous, TEN CENTS per week, and one-half a cent for each additional word, for each insertion.

Address all communications to THE WORLD, No. 4 King Street East, Toronto.

The Toronto World

The Only One-Cent Morning Paper in Canada, and the Only Exclusively Morning Paper in the City of Toronto.

APPPOINTMENTS THIS EVENING.

GRAND OPERA HOUSE—'Stolen Kisses'—Horsing Lingered.

ROYAL OPERA HOUSE—'Lazarus, a Life's Mistake'—Alex. Galtman.

TUESDAY MORNING NOVEMBER 1, 1881.

THE SAN FRANCISCO CALL says that Toronto is the "flower of British colonial cities."

ON SEPT. 30 one of the last of the contemporaries of Goethe, Col. von Watzdorf, died at Weimar at a very advanced age.

He was a frequent visitor at Goethe's house in Weimar, and an intimate friend of the poet's son, August von Goethe.

NINETY MILES AN HOUR is what a railway in Pennsylvania proposes as soon as the model of a locomotive already completed and tried can be duplicated.

When speed goes "ten better," at a round one hundred, milestones will be of precious little moment. What cannot be seen need not be counted.

QUITE A psychological curiosity, but not, therefore, irresponsible. Insanity as a defence against punishment for crime is four hundred years old; but the list of the precedents fixed since that time under the English law is the question whether at the time of committing the act the criminal could distinguish between right and wrong.

ACCORDING to a Milanese journal, the prefect of one of the first cities of Italy, who is a rich landowner, has, in this civilized age, resorted to a feudal custom, obliging his field laborers to wear an iron muzzle during the grape harvest, to prevent them from tasting a few bunches of grapes. The fact was noticed last year, and yet the said prefect still represents the government.

THE SYNDICATE AND THE COMMONWEALTH.

What are the true relations of the Pacific railway syndicate and the commonwealth of Canada to each other? There is a certain view of these relations which has not yet been mooted, but which should be seized upon by the press, and kept before the Canadian public until they are thoroughly understood.

It is not necessary to add the independent press for the view to which we refer is one that the party organs on both sides respectively, will give to regard with suspicion, as something quite unaccountable for party purposes. On one side the orthodox party view is that the government, in its dealings with the syndicate, has made so good a bargain for the country that to think of anything better having been obtainable would be preposterous. On the other side party orthodoxy dictates the view that the bargain is one of the very worst ever made by any government, that in fact it amounts to a costly and disastrous surrender of the country's interests to the syndicate.

On both sides the bargain, good or bad as it may be, is considered irrevocable; and, further, it is taken for granted that the written letter of the tract of last session, and of the syndicate contract, to be literally interpreted as a contract between two private individuals.

What does hippopotaming mean?—Edward Hanlan. I am still looking for those tall chimneys.—Edward Blake.

Me too.—Alex. Mackenzie.

PUBLIC OPINION.

Le Monde: Certainly Canada ought to have a better future than bantering her independence almost complete for a share infinitely small in the republican government of Washington.

St. John Globe: That there has been some improvement in business of certain kinds cannot be disputed, but this improvement is clearly traced to causes separate from, and independent of, the tariff.

Montreal Star: The Toronto Globe greatly mistakes it if it imagines that the tone of the articles it publishes from time to time upon questions affecting the relations between Canada and England and the United States is pleasing to any considerable or even respectable portion of Canadian society.

Guelph Herald: We can see no better solution of the present difficulty than by the appointment of a commission to examine and report upon the books in question. Even if the report should go against the government, no one could blame officials in their laudatory efforts to do what they think right.

St. John Telegraph: The senate of Canada does not fulfill the expectations of a distant and progressive people. It is pertinent, obstructive and non-progressive. It is for this reason that its abolition has been seriously demanded by a large portion of the people of Canada. That, however, is an extreme proceeding. It would be better to reform than to destroy.

J. L. F.

DR. WILD'S PROPHECIES.

A Correspondent on the Doctor's Views—He Thinks His Reasoning Bad and His Prophecy Vision Unwarranted.

THE WORLD: Since Dr. Wild has been bold enough to publish his sermon on "Paranell and the Prophetic Destiny of Ireland," it cannot surprise or shock him if it evoked some criticism.

Dr. Wild's liberal method of interpreting scripture must be somewhat disturbing to those who are not quite certain that they are of the "children of Israel." If everybody's inheritance was still to give way to the right of the "children of Israel," isn't it just a little hard on the peaceful inhabitants who may have cultivated the soil for centuries until it has got to be worth coveting? But of course such a question is not expected to arise upon the mind of one who possesses the true prophetic fire and insight. If there is to be a new dispensation, it is to be in some other place, as naturally as the Indian did before the advance of the white man, as surely must they die, be killed, or driven from their land, as they were on the line of providential intent.

These words are quoted from the published report of the doctor's sermon. They are really a murderous war of extermination, and throw all the responsibility for it upon the divine word.

No one who reads Dr. Wild has logical faculty enough within him to perceive this corollary since he exhibits a total absence of all reason and common sense in sentences, where, in disputing the correctness of the evolution theory, he alleges that nature could not produce so wondrous an effect as man, although it is admitted that although a watch could not make itself, still after a watch is made and set going, it can keep itself going, and by co-operation propagate other watches. It is quite as rational to suppose that the matter of which the world is composed can propagate other similar material structures. This is to allege that matter is the source of life, and spirit a dead result emanating from matter. As a heresy this is far ahead of Dr. Thomas, and quite on a par with Haeckel. The rev. doctor is doubtless quite unconscious of the meaning of his words; but then his logical faculties are not active, while his prophetic ones are abnormally so.

Having thus established a "divine right" to wipe out the Celtic race in Ireland, the next is easy. By a natural transition he slides gracefully into the assertion of another "divine right" to drive them out of the land of worship they have chosen, viz.: the gorgeous and imposing ritual of the Roman church, the medieval superstitions which that institution has drawn out of Ireland, and its reluctance to refund the same in aid to her struggling people. The two latter positions are in the same line, and may be legitimately set forth; but however desirable it may be that Ireland, and every other part of the world, should be utterly upon a par with the rest of the world, it is utterly against the spirit of gospel light and liberty that this should be done either to the Celtic race or to the people of Ireland. It may be true that "the old Jew, Beconsfield," were he still at the head of affairs, would have had faith enough in the right of the Irish people to oppress a people who had done something to get hold of the wrong inheritance, by wiping out the Celtic race, and to give it to themselves, if they loved freedom more than self; but some of us take leave to doubt that Beconsfield was so thoroughly unwise. He that would have made any such liberal government of England, led by Gladstone, has for its aim, not to crush, but to create a more liberal government of Ireland, and of all its legislation for Ireland has been to make life, growth, and expansion possible among the people, by removing impediments to their progress, and to give them more choice in things temporal, assured that the more that is secured, intellectual liberty will be secured. It is the very spirit of the more that is secured, intellectual liberty will be secured. It is the very spirit of the more that is secured, intellectual liberty will be secured.

Truly, the world is a vast and wonderful place, and the human mind is a most curious and interesting instrument. It is not surprising that it should be so easily misled by the words of a man who claims to be a prophet. Dr. Wild's prophecies are a good example of this. They are a mixture of the old and the new, of the true and the false, of the wise and the foolish. They are a warning to us all to be careful of what we believe, and to be true to our own consciences.

What they are saying.

I say no attention to anonymous letters in the press.—Bishop Sweatman.

I would not mind going back to Manitoba as lieutenant-governor.—Hon. Wm. Mackenzie Lynch.

I make all my own gas.—Ald. Hallam.

Why don't they agitate for the Scott act.—E. King Dods.

I shall read a few more extracts from Voltaire.—Archbishop Lynch.

We don't want your word.—Duncan McIntyre.

I've the finest private dining-room in Canada.—George Stephen.

They put up a job on me.—Ernestus P. B. Wisman.

What does hippopotaming mean?—Edward Hanlan.

I am still looking for those tall chimneys.—Edward Blake.

Me too.—Alex. Mackenzie.

PUBLIC OPINION.

Le Monde: Certainly Canada ought to have a better future than bantering her independence almost complete for a share infinitely small in the republican government of Washington.

St. John Globe: That there has been some improvement in business of certain kinds cannot be disputed, but this improvement is clearly traced to causes separate from, and independent of, the tariff.

Montreal Star: The Toronto Globe greatly mistakes it if it imagines that the tone of the articles it publishes from time to time upon questions affecting the relations between Canada and England and the United States is pleasing to any considerable or even respectable portion of Canadian society.

Guelph Herald: We can see no better solution of the present difficulty than by the appointment of a commission to examine and report upon the books in question. Even if the report should go against the government, no one could blame officials in their laudatory efforts to do what they think right.

St. John Telegraph: The senate of Canada does not fulfill the expectations of a distant and progressive people. It is pertinent, obstructive and non-progressive. It is for this reason that its abolition has been seriously demanded by a large portion of the people of Canada. That, however, is an extreme proceeding. It would be better to reform than to destroy.

J. L. F.

MORE BOOK SEIZURES.

Old Charges Coming Up—Proctor's Lectures on Astronomy—The Napane Town Hall Case.

THE WORLD: The Mail of the 19th inst., under the caption "Legal Aspect of the Indecent Literature Decision," refers to the decision in queen's bench in re the "Napane town hall case," and quotes from the judgment of Chief Justice Harrison to show that Christianity is a part of the common law in Canada, thus attempting to justify the recent seizure of heretical books in the Toronto investigations. But the Mail omits to state that the judges who gave judgment in that case heartily condemned the bigoted and obsolete law which they felt compelled to follow because it stood in the statute books, and because the case before them was an action for damages. The Mail itself, under the caption "Legal Aspect of the Indecent Literature Decision," reported the remarks made by one of the judges during the argument on the Napane town hall case, as follows: "In the course of the argument before the judge, it was remarked that if Christianity were true there could be no possible harm done by discussing its doctrines, and in this sense it was justifying the seizure of the books. But the judge went on to say that if Christianity were true there could be no possible harm done by discussing its doctrines, and in this sense it was justifying the seizure of the books. But the judge went on to say that if Christianity were true there could be no possible harm done by discussing its doctrines, and in this sense it was justifying the seizure of the books."

It is not surprising that the judge should have said this, for he was speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be libelous. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be seditious. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be obscene. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be heretical. The judge was not speaking of a case where the books were seized because they were considered to be blasphemous. The judge was not