that he understood he had taken five quarts of blood from her, and caused her death. To another witness he had said it was a d——d pity they hadn't employed Granny Huff and two or three men and they might have killed her sooner than they did, bleeding her five or six times, Hunter had murdered the girl.

One, perhaps, would not be inclined to find much fault with Dr. Sumner's characterization of the treatment if he had his facts right and certainly there is no evidence that his facts were not right. But those were the days of heroic measures—and one can only pity poor Mary Gilmour, bled white in the name of science.

The main complaint is that the defendant said of the plaintiff that he was totally ignorant of the medical profession. He was proved to have said that Hunter was nothing but a butcher in Niagara; that he was a poor ignorant creature and knew nothing about doctoring; that the bleeding of Mary Gilmour was the cursedest piece of work he ever saw; that the plaintiff was not a medical man at all; that he had given Peggy Berry some drops which put her to sleep and she died immediately, "a devil of a case," and some other like choice expressions.

Very little defence was offered and the jury found a verdict for the plaintiff for  $\pounds 5$ . or \$ 20—not an extravagant sum one would say, under all the circumstances. The verdict was not appealed from.