

been through, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Skeena (Mr. Fulton):

That this House urge the Prime Minister not to put us through another winter like the one just past, but to pass the mantle on to someone else quickly, and further that the hon. member for Thunder Bay-Atikokan and the Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of National Health and Welfare live up to their statements by voting against the government's inadequate and destructive policies, or resign.

**Madam Speaker:** Is there unanimous consent for this motion?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. Members:** No.

PRESSURE APPLIED BY LIBERAL MEMBERS—MOTION UNDER  
S.O. 43

**Mr. Doug Lewis (Simcoe North):** Madam Speaker, 17 Liberal members of Parliament met on May 18 with a sense of urgency to pressure the government into adopting a realistic interest rate policy for Canada. Therefore I move, seconded by the hon. member for Parry Sound-Muskoka (Mr. Darling):

That this group of Liberal backbenchers and other dissidents broaden their objectives to urge upon the government not only a realistic interest rate for Canada, but also a realistic transportation policy, a realistic energy policy, a realistic foreign policy, a realistic housing policy, a realistic economic policy, and a realistic social policy.

**Madam Speaker:** Is there unanimous consent for this motion?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. Members:** No.

\* \* \*

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE

DELAY IN PROCESSING CLAIMS FOR BENEFITS—MOTION UNDER  
S.O. 43

**Mr. Neil Young (Beaches):** Madam Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43. In view of the fact that media reports indicate that unemployed Canadians are still running into bureaucratic foul-ups under the unemployment insurance plan, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Winnipeg-Birds Hill (Mr. Blaikie):

That this House instructs the Minister of Employment and Immigration to speed up processing of unemployment insurance claims for unemployed Canadians.

**Madam Speaker:** Is there unanimous consent for this motion?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. Members:** No.

Oral Questions

MEDICAL CARE

CALL FOR BANNING OF EXTRA BILLING BY PHYSICIANS—  
MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. Bill Blaikie (Winnipeg-Birds Hill):** Madam Speaker, in view of the fact that there is to be a federal-provincial health ministers meeting and that the Minister of National Health and Welfare (Miss Bégin) is finally getting around to doing something about the medicare crisis, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Beaches (Mr. Young):

That this House instruct the Minister of National Health and Welfare to include in her proposals for the revitalization of medicare, mechanisms for the banning of extra billing by physicians.

**Madam Speaker:** Is there unanimous consent for this motion?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. Members:** No.

ORAL QUESTION PERIOD

[English]

THE JUDICIARY

POLICY GOVERNING COMMUNICATIONS BY CABINET  
MINISTERS WITH JUDGES

**Hon. Allan Lawrence (Durham-Northumberland):** Madam Speaker, my question is for the Prime Minister. I wonder whether the Prime Minister would reiterate or reconfirm that it is his government's policy that cabinet ministers should not attempt to communicate with judges about matters or persons coming before the courts.

**Right Hon. P. E. Trudeau (Prime Minister):** Madam Speaker, the policy was stated several years ago, and it is along the lines repeated by the hon. member. I cannot recall the exact wording of it, but I could dig it out and make the hon. member aware of it, if necessary.

INTRODUCTION OF LETTER IN PEEL COUNTY COURT CASE

**Hon. Allan Lawrence (Durham-Northumberland):** Madam Speaker, my supplementary question is also directed to the Prime Minister. I wonder whether the Prime Minister is aware that last Thursday in Peel County Court in Brampton, Ontario, defence counsel tried to introduce a letter from the Solicitor General about a former campaign worker about to be sentenced for two armed robberies in a federal penitentiary under the jurisdiction of the Solicitor General. Does the Prime Minister share the judge's view that the introduction of such a letter was, and I quote, "in poor taste"? If so, is the Prime Minister going to discipline the Solicitor General in any way?