

Government Orders

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): All those in favour of the motion will please say yea.

Some hon. members: Yea.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): All those opposed will please say nay.

Some hon. members: Nay.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): In my opinion the nays have it.

And more than five members having risen:

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): Pursuant to Standing Order 76(8), the recorded division on the proposed motion stands deferred.

The question is on Motion No. 14A. Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

Some hon. members: Agreed.

Some hon. members: No.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): All those in favour of the motion will please say yea.

Some hon. members: Yea.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): All those opposed will please say nay.

Some hon. members: Nay.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): In my opinion the nays have it.

And more than five members having risen:

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): Pursuant to Standing Order 76(8), the recording division on the proposed motion stands deferred.

The question is on Motion No. 19. Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

Some hon. members: Agreed.

Some hon. members: No.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): All those in favour of the motion will please say yea.

Some hon. members: Yea.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): All those opposed will please say nay.

Some hon. members: Nay.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): In my opinion the nays have it.

And more than five members having risen:

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Paproski): Pursuant to Standing Order 76(8), the recorded division on the proposed motion stands deferred.

Motion Nos. 4A, 6A, 8A, 12A, 15 and 23 will be debated and voted on separately.

Mr. Steven W. Langdon (Essex—Windsor) moved:

Motion No. 4A

That Bill C-84 be amended in Clause 9 by adding immediately after line 26 at page 3 the following:

“(a) provisions requiring that all unrefined hydrocarbon product produced by Petro-Canada be refined at a refinery located in Canada;”.

He said: Mr. Speaker, this particular motion is to suggest yet again a way in which we can see to it in the future that Petro-Canada contributes more fully to the country than if simply left to marketplace dictates. A private company, which had not been paid for, whose creation had not been established by the people of Canada, could not be expected to simply follow a course which required refining of unrefined hydrocarbons products produced by Petro-Canada in this country.

This motion attempts to set out a requirement for Petro-Canada that all hydrocarbon products which are pumped out by Petro-Canada will have to be used for refining purposes within this country.

•(1650)

I think it is important that the contribution of this company to this country be constrained, that it be required in the future to be as great as possible to the people of Canada.

How do you do that? You do it through a number of mechanisms. You do it by some of the mechanisms which we have been debating about ownership, which I hope will ultimately be accepted by this House. You do it through suggestions on the important environmental role which Petro-Canada can play in the future. You also do it by emphasizing a Canada-based refining policy for this company. It is not, it seems to me, something that runs against the previously expressed views of the Conservative Party.

The minister of privatization got into an exchange with one of my colleagues about a quotation which he claimed had been wrongly quoted, taken out of context by my colleague, and indeed by a number of my other colleagues earlier today. In fact, what my colleague was quoting when he quoted the statement of the minister