- 2. What was the place of birth of each individual who received the fellowships and/or the grants?
- 3. How many persons are presently on staff at the (a) Maison du Canada in Paris (b) Shasti Institute in New Delhi?
- 4. For the years 1970, 1971 and 1972 inclusive, what were their (a) salaries (b) annual budgets (c) travelling (d) promotion (e) lodging (f) entertainment expenditures?
- 5. Does the government intend forming an external cultural policy and (a) if not, for what reasons (b) if so, on what date and which department would be responsible for implementing such a policy?
- 6. Is consideration being given by the government to increase the number of (a) cultural institutions (b) bilateral cultural agreements in other countries and (i) if not, for what reasons (ii) if so, on what date and which countries will be contacted?
- 7. For the years 1970, 1971 and 1972 inclusive (a) how many students came to Canada (b) how many Canadian students, by province, went abroad under the International Student Summer Employment Exchange Program?

Return tabled.

Mr. Howard: Mr. Speaker, it is not very long ago, on October 15, that I posed a question relating to La Mauricie National Park. There is urgency in obtaining an answer to that question because there is an element in it that touches upon the integrity of the hon. member in whose riding it exists.

## MOTIONS FOR PAPERS

[English]

Mr. John M. Reid (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, would you be so kind as to call notices of motions Nos. 243, 244 and 252.

COPIES OF APPLICATIONS FOR GRANTS BY THE PROVINCIAL CITIZENS' RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS CONFERENCE, ST. JOHN'S, NEWFOUNDLAND

Motion No. 243-Mr. Hellyer:

That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the applications for grants by the Provincial Citizen's Rights and Freedoms Conference, St. John's, Newfoundland, in respect of its Conference held in January, 1973 and for correspondence from the government related thereto.

[Translation]

Mr. Gilles Marceau (Parliamentary Secretary to Secretary of State): Mr. Speaker, these are confidential papers concerning the internal affairs of the Department. Under the circumstances, the hon. member could perhaps withdraw his motion.

[English]

Mr. Speaker: Is the motion dropped?

Mr. Hellyer: I beg your pardon, Mr. Speaker. I did not realize it was a motion in my name. Consequently, in view of the fact there was no consultation, we will transfer it for debate.

Mr. Speaker: Transferred for debate.

Privilege, Mr. Nielsen

COPIES OF APPLICATIONS OF PACT FOR GRANT

Motion No. 244-Mr. Hellyer:

That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the applications of PACT (Peoples Acting on Concerns Together), Winnipeg, for a grant from the Secretary of State together with any correspondence to or from the government relating to the applications.

Mr. Gilles Marceau (Parliamentary Secretary to Secretary of State): Mr. Speaker, this is the same answer to the hon. member.

[Translation]

Mr. Speaker, those are confidential papers which concern the department's internal matters. In such circumstances, the hon. member would perhaps withdraw his motion.

[English]

Mr. Hellyer: Transfer for debate.

Mr. Speaker: Transferred for debate.

COPY OF MATERIAL RESULTING FROM FIRST MEETING OF VLA SENIOR MANAGEMENT TEAM

Motion No. 252-Mr. Marshall:

That an Order of the House do issue for a copy of all material resulting from the first meeting of the VLA Senior Management team convened by the Director General of the Veterans Land Administration in Ottawa from March 26 to 29 as follows (a) operational plans in the periods before and after the March 31, 1974 deadline for new loan applications (b) further implementation of MBO as the VLA style of management.

Mr. Speaker: Stand. Shall the remaining notices of motions stand?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

## PRIVILEGE

MR. NIELSEN—ALLEGED ALTERATION OF "HANSARD" BY SOLICITOR GENERAL CHANGING MEANING OF CERTAIN REMARKS

Mr. Erik Nielsen (Yukon): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order although I think it is more properly a question of privilege. Like the question raised by the hon. member for Okanagan-Boundary (Mr. Stewart), it also involves a bad apple but this time it is the Solicitor General (Mr. Allmand), one of a whole barrel full on the treasury benches. It concerns a deliberate alteration in *Hansard*, the record of the debates of this House.

I know, Sir, that the practice is for members to make grammatical changes in the blues, but it was never intended that the sense of a statement or a sentence be altered so as to take away from its original meaning. Nor was it ever intended that whole phrases could be absolutely deleted from the written record of the proceedings of the House.

Yesterday in answer to a question of mine, which is recorded in *Hansard* for October 30 at page 7351, the Solicitor General replied in his first sentence:

I would be interested to know what misinformation the hon. member alleges I am giving to the House.