

Suggested Interest-free Loans

and the Minister of Agriculture (Mr. Olson) are taken to task, and both have the same reply: No money.

Mr. Speaker, I wish to say again what I said at the opening of my remarks: If war broke out tomorrow, there would not be any shortage of money: we would find some, even to buy the wheat of the western farmers. Indeed there would be some to buy everything.

But is it not also a national urgency when you have close to 700,000 or 800,000 unemployed in Canada? Is it not urgent to find a solution when our kids are uprising against a society in which they are not able to integrate themselves?

Mr. Speaker, the young people who are uprising are blamed and the society which prevents their integration is tolerated. Instead of blaming the youngsters who are uprising, one should begin by blaming the society and by improving it, and begin by effecting improvements in the House of Commons so that it could set an example for the rest of Canada. But instead, the blame is put on the youth. It is true that there are some hot-headed among the youngsters, but if we were in their situation, would we not have our hot-headed too? After all, these young people of today are our children.

I met recently a few federal members with 18 or 19 years old kids who are blamed in their own home by young separatists, rebels or revolutionists who cannot find a job in their own country. And we are told that the middle class is responsible for this situation, when everyone wants to become part of it. Today's young people who rebel against the middle class want to become part of it tomorrow. However, the financial system does not see to it that these young people are integrated in society, because it refuses them the right to work. If all these young people were actually employed in the development of the vast riches of Canada, would not that create new riches? Would it not allow them the opportunity to contribute directly to the administration of their country?

What solution does the Government offer? None. It does what it can; it spends \$27 million to create 33,000 jobs. \$27 million is a large sum! However, 600,000 young people are watching us. They are not happy about what is happening. That should not worry us. As long as our leaders will give more attention to the financial system than to the Canadian nation, and in particular to the young, there will still be trouble in Canada.

[Mr. Caouette.]

Things will go from bad to worse and necessarily Canadians as a whole will pay for the consequences. That is why we should assume our responsibility. We are reasonable and in earnest, we are seeking solutions. The government finds none. The Progressive Conservatives offer no alternative solutions. They tell the government: Put us in your place and we will do the same as you do. The proof thereof is that they already were in power, and acted in the same way.

The New Democratic party has never been in power. What better results would they obtain within the same system? None at all. If we were in the government's place within the same system, we would do no better. We must get out of the system, improve it, change it. Instead of changing the people and putting them through the system, let us put the system to work for the people. Then we will be able to guarantee that each and every citizen enjoys economic security as well as personal freedom.

Canadians are entitled to their freedom and security. Freedom without security is impossible. Security without freedom is quite easy. Every socialist country in the world has brought security at the expense of freedom. If you do not believe me, try it. Suppose that ten members go to Frieman's and break all the windows of that department store. I am convinced that within 15 minutes the Minister of Justice will have his security, just like me. We would all be behind bars with three meals a day guaranteed, and clothes that might not fit. But we will be clothed and fed and if we get sick, medicare will look after us, all of this at the government's expense. There, we would all enjoy security but not freedom. That is not a system we want for our citizens.

We want a system that would allow the minister, as well as all Canadians, to be free, to enjoy security from birth to death. That is exactly the purpose of the motion introduced by my colleague for Champlain. That is also advocated by the Creditiste doctrine of Major C. H. Douglas. Instead of laughing at it, let it be seriously considered. Everybody agrees that changes are necessary if we are not to end up in chaos as so many other countries did.

A country to which we provided millions of dollars in assistance, I mean Ceylon, became communist a few hours ago. We gave help, but was it the citizens or the businessmen of Ceylon we helped? If we used Canadian credit to make a few businessmen richer