

followed. In the British House of Commons the *sub judice* convention has been to some extent codified following two committee investigations into the matter. Your Committee therefore proposes to carry out a similar investigation with a view to recommending guidelines for the application of the *sub judice* convention in our own House of Commons.

Your Committee will submit recommendations after serious study if it judges that changes in the rights and immunities of Members are required. Some of the rights and immunities enjoyed by Members over the years may no longer be applicable and some may need to be redefined in modern terms.

A copy of the relevant Minutes of Proceedings (*Issue No. 1*) is tabled.

(*The Minutes of Proceedings and Evidence accompanying the Report recorded as Appendix No. 196 to the Journals*).

Mr. Sharp, a Member of the Queen's Privy Council, laid upon the Table,—Copies of Report to the Trustees of the King George V Silver Jubilee Cancer Fund for Canada pursuant to section 4(2) of the King George V Cancer Fund Winding-up Act, chapter 78, Statutes of Canada 1974-75-76. (English and French).—Sessional Paper No. 301-7/57.

Mr. Reynolds, seconded by Mr. Huntington, by leave of the House, introduced Bill C-443, An Act to amend the Supreme Court Act, which was read the first time and ordered to be printed and ordered for a second reading at the next sitting of the House.

Mr. Buchanan, seconded by Mr. Sharp, by leave of the House, introduced Bill C-98, An Act to approve, give effect to and declare valid certain agreements between the Grand Council of the Crees (of Quebec), the Northern Quebec Inuit Association, the Government of Quebec, la Société d'Énergie de la Baie James, la Société de Développement de la Baie James, la Commission Hydro-Électrique de Québec and the Government of Canada and certain other related agreements to which the Government of Canada is a party, which was read the first time and ordered to be printed and ordered for a second reading at the next sitting of the House.

The text of the Message and Recommendation of the Governor General pursuant to Standing Order 62(2) in relation to the foregoing Bill is as follows:

His Excellency the Governor General recommends to the House of Commons the appropriation of public revenue under the circumstances, in the manner and amounts and, for the purposes set out in a measure entitled "An Act to approve, give effect to and declare valid certain agreements between the Grand Council of the Crees (of Quebec), the Northern Quebec Inuit Association, the Government of Quebec, la Société d'Énergie de la Baie James, la Société de Développement de la Baie James, la Commission Hydro-Électrique de Québec and the Government of

Canada and certain other related agreements to which the Government of Canada is a party".

Pursuant to Standing Order 39(4), the following two Questions were made Orders of the House for Returns:

No. 3,121—*Mr. Cossitt*

1. Were any aircraft purchased by the government from the T. Eaton Co. Ltd. and, if so (a) what type (b) on what date (c) at what cost (d) for what purpose (e) on what date and by whom were negotiations commenced for such purchase (f) for what reason was such purchase not listed in the answer to Question No. 344?

2. How many times, for what occasions and on what dates was such aircraft used (a) by the Prime Minister or any member of his family (b) by any Cabinet Minister?

3. What is the identity of all those involved in using such aircraft?

4. Did the Prime Minister or any member of his family or anyone else in government fly in such aircraft prior to the government officially becoming the owner and, if so (a) on what dates (b) for what purpose (c) what is the identity of all such persons involved?—Sessional Paper No. 301-2/3,121.

No. 5,095—*Mr. Lambert (Bellechasse)*

1. For 1974-75, what was the total value of agricultural exports, except cereals?

2. What were the products exported?

3. What was the number of pounds or tons of the products?

4. For the same period, did Canada import agricultural products and, if so (a) what were they (b) in what quantity in each case?—Sessional Paper No. 301-2/5,095.

Mr. Blais, Parliamentary Secretary to the President of the Privy Council, presented,—Returns to the foregoing Orders.

Debate was resumed on the motion of Mr. Allmand, seconded by Mr. Sharp,—That Bill C-84, An Act to amend the Criminal Code in relation to the punishment for murder and certain other serious offences, be now read a third time and do pass.

And on the motion of Mr. Condon, seconded by Mr. Knowles (Norfolk-Haldimand), in amendment thereto,—That Bill C-84, An Act to amend the Criminal Code in relation to the punishment for murder and certain other serious offences, be not now read a third time but that it be read a third time this day three months hence.

After further debate, the question being put on the amendment, a recorded division was deferred.

Mr. Macdonald (Rosedale), seconded by Mr. Allmand, moved,—That the House do now adjourn.