

6. And such other objections that the undersigned Members may consider appropriate in order to carry out the spirit and terms and conditions of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act.

Signatures of Members:

D. Ethier (Glengarry-Prescott-Russell)
 H. Poulin (Ottawa Centre)
 A. Nicholson (Trinity)
 A. Martin (Scarborough West)
 C. Douglas (Bruce-Grey)
 P. McRae (Fort William)
 L. Hopkins (Renfrew North-Nipissing East)
 J.-J. Blais (Nipissing)
 V. Railton (Welland)
 N. Cafik (Ontario)

That, pursuant to Section 20 of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act (Chapter E-2, R.S.C., 1970), consideration be given by this House to the matter of an objection to the provisions of the Report of the Electoral Boundaries Commission for the Province of Ontario, laid before this House by Mr. Speaker on February 27, 1976, on the grounds set forth hereinafter:

1. It has been estimated that, pursuant to changes made in the boundary lines proposed for the new riding of Scarborough South arising from representations made before the Commission in the Autumn of 1975, that riding is now contiguous with the existing riding of Scarborough West for the extent of 90% of the population within the boundaries of existing Scarborough West and proposed Scarborough South.

It is therefore submitted that there should be no name change in the existing riding of Scarborough West and that this existing name should be substituted for that of Scarborough South.

2. In view of (1), the proposed riding of Scarborough West, containing as it will only some 10% of the existing riding of Scarborough West, should be changed in name.

3. In view of the long history of the riding of York Scarborough, it is proposed that this same name be continued rather than substituting the new name of Scarborough North. The proposed riding boundaries continue to extend into the Borough of North York and thus retention of the name association with that Borough is still appropriate.

4. It is also proposed in the case of this riding that the western boundary be held at Woodbine Avenue/Don Valley Parkway, a more natural and obvious boundary line than the current proposal involving Don Mills Road.

Signatures of Members:

A. Martin (Scarborough West)
 U. Appolloni (York South)
 R. Kaplan (York Centre)
 A. Nicholson (Trinity)
 V. Railton (Welland)
 R. Stanbury (York-Scarborough)
 N. Cafik (Ontario)
 K. Robinson (Toronto-Lakeshore)

A. C. Abbott (Mississauga)
 J. Flemming (York West)

That, pursuant to Section 20 of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act (Chapter E-2, R.S.C., 1970), consideration be given by this House to the matter of an objection to the provisions of the Report of the Electoral Boundaries Commission for the Province of Ontario, laid before this House by Mr. Speaker on February 27, 1976, on the grounds set forth hereinafter:

The Commission failed to comply with the Act by not including reasons justifying its recommendations as required by the said Act.

Signatures of Members:

K. Penner (Thunder Bay)
 N. A. Cafik (Ontario)
 J.-J. Blais (Nipissing)
 V. Railton (Welland)
 A. Martin (Scarborough West)
 R. Andras (Port Arthur)
 Wm. Andres (Lincoln)
 P. McRae (Fort William)
 E. Whelan (Essex-Windsor)
 M. Foster (Algoma)

That, pursuant to Section 20 of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act (Chapter E-2, R.S.C., 1970), consideration be given by this House to the matter of an objection to the provisions of the Report of the Electoral Boundaries Commission for the Province of Ontario, laid before this House by Mr. Speaker on February 27, 1976, on the grounds set forth hereinafter:

The terms of reference I wish to use for my objection is Section 13(c)(ii) of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act, which states:

"... the Commission may depart from the strict application of rules (a) and:

(b) any special community of diversity of interest of the inhabitants of various regions of the Province appears to the Commission to render such a departure necessary or desirable."

This allowance for some flexibility is reinforced by the Report of the Electoral Boundaries Commission for the Province of Ontario (1976) in Schedule B, where it states:

"... the Commission may depart from such equality for any of the following reasons:

(2) special community or diversity of interests of the inhabitants of the various regions."

It is my contention that the Report's description of the federal constituency of Prince Edward (No. 64, page 27) has not considered the special community of interests as derived from the economic, social, traditional, and political association of the Southern Townships (Thurlow, Tyendinaga, Hungerford, Huntingdon) in the County of Hastings. The boundaries, as presented by the Electoral Boundaries Commission, have placed Thurlow and Tyendinaga in the new constituency of Prince Edward, without