DISCUSSION CONCERNING FRONT AND REAR CONTROLS OCT. 14, 1908: Report by Mabel B. McCurdy, Stenegrapher of the Association.

(Report of the Stenegrapher revised for this Bulletin).

Beinn Bhreagh. Oct. 14. 1908:- Br. Bell read to Mr. F.W. Bel-dwin and Mr. Gardiner H. Bell his note upon "Front Control" given elsewhere in this Bulletin; whereupon the following discussion took place:-

Mr. Baldwin:- In the first place I think the acredrence with its front control is not comparable to a pole with one surface on it. There are two surfaces on the pole that would represent the machine. The main surface is behind the center of gravity, or whatever point you want to take as a pivot.

I think one of the greatest things about a bow control is that you can see what you are doing, that makes up for a great many deficiencies, having the whole control in full view and seeing exactly what it is doing. In fact, I think, all steering or working parts should, if possible, be in full view. If your bow control breaks, why you would know where you are! When the Red Wing tail breke I did not know it had breken at all. You want to get your moveable parts in front where you can see them. You can make your truss strong, you can make your rigid parts strong; the things that go wrong are your working parts. Now the Red Wing certainly breke her tail on one side. Everybody excepting myself know it, but I didn't until I came down on the ice. Now I should have seen it had it been in the bow. It is perfectly possible for a tail to break and operator not to know enough to shut off when to