

otly say to him, "You are now comparatively rich, and I deem it my duty to give all that I can spare to one that is indigent," would it evince gratitude or courtesy in him to upbraid me as his enemy?

But for the efforts of myself and other Baptists, there probably would not have been a Bible Society in Amherst at this day. I am unable to find the names of the one half of those who figured on the platform as our accusers, in the lists of contributors to the British and Foreign Bible Society in 1845. I know that some of them were not contributors to it during the year preceding Nov. last!

Many Baptists in England, as was acknowledged, as also in these Provinces, still contribute to the British and Foreign Bible Society; though we are excluded, as to publishing the Scriptures in heathen lands, from all participation in its funds. Others who adopt the same course that I do, with me also, I doubt not, sincerely desire the prosperity of that noble and highly useful Institution. If its funds are augmented, though it be the effect of opposition against me and my particular friends, I can truly say, with the Apostle, "I therein do rejoice, yea, and will rejoice."

[A great part of the time occupied at the Meeting of February 26th, which lasted above five hours, was spent in attempting to prove that the Baptists are in hostility to the British & Foreign, and the American, Bible Society. For this purpose extracts were read, (many of them twice) from documents published by the American and Foreign Bible Society, principally contained in a pamphlet written by a Pedobaptist opponent in the United States, who had industriously selected the most offensive expressions used with regard to the American Bible Society, and the Pedobaptists generally. None will doubt that I