

-2-

and approval of what it contains, is a destructive weapon - a fact of a destructive character concerning one of Mr. R's activities - which will more than offset, if permitted to gain circulation, many of the facts of a constructive character concerning ^{the same} ~~your~~ activities which you hope to have tell in the public mind.

The facts concerning which I have felt members of Congress likely to be friendly to your interests should be informed, were not primarily facts concerning a government board, but facts concerning the truth of your own activities, though, as it happens, each is bound up in the other in this particular instance.

I agree with you that what a certain element of those interested in securing publication of the Walsh report and evidence are desirous of is to secure the publication of the correspondence between Colorado and New York; but I think they are just as much interested, if not more interested, in having Gaddis's testimony and McCorkle's testimony also printed and distributed at public expense; and it does seem to me a most important consideration that, before the United States Government decides to print and publish at the expense of the people material of this sort, it should be made aware of the circumstance that it was not evidence given under oath, but ~~was~~ simply ~~a~~ statements prepared and read for the purpose of fostering prejudice. I believe that knowledge of the circumstance that this testimony was not sworn to might be just the factor which, if known, would be sufficient to prevent its gaining further circulation.