Messrs:

MacGuigan MacRae McBride McCleave McGrath McKinley Mahoney Marceau Marchand

(Kamloops-Cariboo)

Mazankowski Muir Murphy Nesbitt Noël Nowlan O'Connell Olson Osler Otto Paproski Peddle Pelletier Pepin Perrault

Portelance

Pringle Prud'homme Richardson Ritchie Roberts Rochon Roy (Laval) Rvan Sharp

Smith (Saint-Jean) Southam Stewart (Cochrane)

Stewart (Marquette) St. Pierre Sulatvcky Thomas (Maisonneuve-

Rosemont) Thomas (Moncton) Trudel

Turner (London East) Weatherhead Whelan Winch

Woolliams-107.

NAYS Messrs:

Benjamin Blackburn Broadbent Burton Caouette Gauthier Gilbert Gleave Harding Howard (Skeena) Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre)

Laprise Latulippe Lewis MacInnis (Mrs.) Nystrom Orlikow Rose Saltsman Skoberg Tétrault.

Thomson (Battleford-Kindersley)-22.

• (4:00 p.m.)

Mr. Speaker: I declare the motion carried.

Motion agreed to, bill read the second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Finance, Trade and Economic Affairs.

Mr. Speaker: It being four o'clock, the House will now proceed to the consideration of private members' business as listed on today's order paper, namely, notices of motions, public bills, private bills.

PRIVATE MEMBERS' MOTIONS

REQUEST THAT HOUSE COMMITTEE STUDY AND REPORT ON PROCEDURE FOLLOWED FOR PRIVATE MEMBERS' PUBLIC BILLS

On the order: Private members' notices of motions: November 2, 1970-Resuming debate on the motion of Mr. Baldwin, seconded by Mr. Bell:

That the Standing Committee on Procedure and Organization review Standing Orders dealing with private members' public bills with a view to making a report to the House with a recommendation that such changes be made as will allow a recorded vote on some of such bills.

Prevention of Military Aggression

Mr. Baldwin: Mr. Speaker, I would ask that this motion stand. We are still hoping that this proposal may be included in the subject matters referred to the Standing Committee on Procedure and Organization. For that reason I think we would only be wasting time by debating it now.

Motion stands.

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

UNITED NATIONS-MORE EFFECTIVE ROLE IN PREVENT-ING MILITARY AGGRESSION, ETC.

The House resumed, from Friday, November 6, 1970, consideration of the motion of Mr. Allmand:

That, in the opinion of this House, the government should take initiatives for United Nations reform to make that institution a more effective instrument for the prevention of military aggression, for the settlement of international disputes, and to promote co-operation, peace and prosperity and in particular to:

- 1. provide that the decisions of the Security Council be enforceable:
- 2. provide that the unused provisions of the charter be activated:
- 3. provide that the International Court of Justice be empowered to interpret the United Nations charter;
 - 4. provide for universality of membership;
- 5. provide for the establishment of global authorities related to the United Nations to deal with serious global problems such as the environment, population, development of seabed resources, and economic and social stability;
 - 6. provide for a United Nations stand-by peace force.

Mr. Jack Cullen (Sarnia-Lambton): Mr. Speaker, I was pleased to put forward my name as seconder of the motion proposed by the hon. member for Notre-Dame-de-Grâce (Mr. Allmand). The hon, member's motion is specific and to the point. Perhaps the government would not want to take any initiative aimed at revising the charter itself unless it were convinced that those initiatives were feasible and would be useful. However, the government, as I hope all hon. members are, is in sympathy with the general purpose and intent of the motion put forward by the hon. member for Notre-Dame-de-Grâce.

A careful reading of the government's review of foreign policy indicates that it has made it a basic premise of the review that Canada should continue to work actively to achieve the goal of making the United Nations an effective instrument of international co-operation and to improve its capacity to discharge its charter responsibilities. That is the aim that I think we draw out of the government's study and the position it has taken on foreign policy. However, it seems to me that the hon. member who moved this motion has tried to pinpoint or highlight in a positive, definite way areas within which specific improvements could be made and has even gone so far as suggesting some things that should be done which in turn would require amendment to the charter as we know it today.

On November 6 last I listened with careful attention to the comments of the mover of the motion and I have