

one upon perusal may find it to be descriptive of the circumstances signalizing the first graduation in Queen's College, and devoid of allusions that can be construed into personalities, either laudatory or censorious. So far from attacking the "Primitivi Doctors," we never experienced the slightest animosity towards them, and we would rejoice if in reciprocating the feelings we entertain for them, they, like us, despised the sinister attempt of the writer to interpose between us the breach of antagonism.

Perhaps no better proof could be adduced of the obliquity of the cause about which the remarks are ventured than the fact that they require an octavo of 16 pages for their enunciation, and that the gist does not appear before the 13th page. It is there stated that the "Attack" contains these four charges.

"1. That Queen's College had granted degrees at the end of a five months' session.

2. That she does not teach the Institutes of Medicine.

3. That she does not teach Medical Jurisprudence.

4. That she offers her degrees at a reduced price."

Admitting that the Chronicle made these charges, we ask, Have they been disproved? They have not. Can they be disproved? They cannot.

1. That Queen's College had granted degrees at the end of a five months' session. What can be plainer in meaning than this declaration. It distinctly says Queen's College had a session which was of five months' duration, and upon its expiration degrees were conferred. And is not this true? The session referred to, the first and only one, began in the early part of November 1854, and ended before the graduation, which was on the 5th of April, 1855. The fact, however, is deserted, and there is no denial made that the session was of five months' duration. Unable to meet the charge, an evasion, wonderfully circumstantial, is had recourse to—men of straw in a series are raised, and then hewn down—it is alleged that our statement signifies the graduates had no other study than the session they spent at Queen's College. Such an accusation, drawn from our words, is so much at variance with their spirit, that it is obviously unwarrantable, and will not be sanctioned by any intelligent reader. The wantonness of the misapprehension however, becomes the more incomprehensible when it is remembered that the "Attack" expressly states that the graduates had been previously attending other colleges, and that the titles of these places were appended after the name of the individual who had been their pupil. One gentleman we mentioned had followed three medical schools before