

23. Napanea .....	3,680	Lennox
24. Bowmanville .....	3,504	Durham
25. Petrolia .....	3,465	Lambton
26. St. Mary's .....	3,415	Perth
27. Paris .....	3,173	Braut
28. Whitby .....	3,140	Ontario
29. Prescott .....	2,990	Grenville
30. Picton .....	2,975	Prince Edward
31. Brampton .....	2,920	Peel
32. Orillia .....	2,910	Simcoe
33. Kincardine .....	2,876	Bruce
34. P. nbroke .....	2,820	Renfrew
35. Orangeville .....	2,847	Dufferin
36. Listowel .....	2,688	Perth
37. Almonte .....	2,684	Lanark
38. Amherstburgh .....	2,672	Essex
39. Simcoe .....	2,645	Norfolk
40. Clinton .....	2,606	Huron
41. Walkerton .....	2,604	Bruce
42. Perth .....	2,467	Lanark
43. Thorold .....	2,456	Welland
44. Niagara Falls .....	2,347	Welland
45. Mitchell .....	2,284	Perth
46. Mount Forest .....	2,170	Wellington
47. Waterloo .....	2,066	Waterloo
48. Newmarket .....	2,006	York
49. Tilsonburg .....	1,939	Oxford
50. Welland .....	1,870	Welland
51. Meaford .....	1,866	Grey
52. Palmerston .....	1,828	Huron
53. Harriston .....	1,772	Wellington
54. Oakville .....	1,710	Halton
55. Niagara .....	1,440	Lincoln
56. Milton .....	1,302	Halton
57. Sandwich .....	1,143	Essex
58. Durham .....	1,059	Grey

## Villages.

1. Yorkville .....	4,825	York
2. Trenton .....	3,042	Hastings
3. Gananoque .....	2,871	Leeds
4. Seaforth .....	2,480	Huron
5. Arnprior .....	2,147	Renfrew
6. Smith's Falls .....	2,087	Lanark
7. Dresden .....	1,979	Kent
8. Carleton Place .....	1,975	Lanark
9. Hawkesbury .....	1,920	Prescott
10. Wingham .....	1,918	Huron
11. Uxbridge .....	1,824	Ontario
12. Dunnville .....	1,808	Welland
13. Port Perry .....	1,800	Ontario
14. Merriton .....	1,798	Lincoln
15. Portsmouth .....	1,734	Frontenac
16. Fergus .....	1,733	Wellington
17. Exeter .....	1,725	Huron
18. Morrisburg .....	1,719	Dundas
19. Port Colborne .....	1,716	Welland
20. Deseronto .....	1,670	Hastings
21. Forest .....	1,614	Lambton
22. Renfrew .....	1,605	Renfrew
23. London West .....	1,601	Middlesex
24. Brighton .....	1,547	Northumberland
25. Aurora .....	1,540	York
26. Aymer .....	1,540	Elgin
27. Parkhill .....	1,539	Middlesex
28. Ridgetown .....	1,538	Kent
29. Wallaceburg .....	1,525	Kent
30. Georgetown .....	1,471	Halton
31. Preston .....	1,419	Waterloo
32. Campbellford .....	1,418	Northumberland
33. Leamington .....	1,411	Essex
34. Norwich .....	1,411	Oxford
35. Port Elgin .....	1,400	Bruce
36. Elora .....	1,387	Wellington
37. Point Edward .....	1,293	Lambton
38. Ashburnham .....	1,266	Peterborough
39. Arthur .....	1,257	Wellington
40. Caledonia .....	1,242	Haldimand
41. New Hamburg .....	1,240	Waterloo
42. Blenheim .....	1,212	Kent
43. Kemptville .....	1,188	Grenville
44. Bradford .....	1,176	Simcoe
45. Parkdale .....	1,170	York
46. Lucknow .....	1,162	Huron
47. Fenelon Falls .....	1,155	Victoria
48. Paisley .....	1,154	Bruce

49. Millbrook .....	1,148	Durham
50. Port Dover .....	1,146	Norfolk
51. Southampton .....	1,141	Bruce
52. Watford .....	1,132	Lambton
53. Port Dalhousie .....	1,129	Lincoln
54. Waterford .....	1,118	Norfolk
55. Alliston .....	1,090	Simcoe
56. Midland .....	1,095	Simcoe
57. Penetanguisheno .....	1,089	Simcoe
58. Colborne .....	1,079	Northumberland
59. Burlington .....	1,068	Halton
60. Madoc .....	1,065	Hastings
61. Newcastle .....	1,060	Durham
62. Stayner .....	1,028	Simcoe
63. Iroquois .....	1,001	Dundas

The only county towns with less than 1000 of a population are the villages of:

L'Original .....	858	Prescott
Cayuga .....	830	Haldimand

## MISCELLANEOUS.

In our June number we gave the boundaries of the four new districts into which the North-west territory had just then been divided. It is now rumored that the region west of Manitoba is to be re-arranged into two new provinces, Qu'Appelle and Saskatchewan, the former extending from Manitoba to the third principal meridian, and the latter from that meridian to the Rocky Mountains.

It is further rumored that Regina, the new town located on "Pile-of-bones" creek, is to be the capital of Qu'Appelle, and Edmonton of Saskatchewan.

## Mathematical Department.

## INDIRECT DEMONSTRATIONS.

A well-known mathematical writer states that "Indirect demonstrations are often less esteemed than direct demonstrations." Another observes that "The indirect is, in general, less readily appreciated by the learner than the direct form of demonstration. The indirect form, however is equally satisfactory, as it excludes every assumed hypothesis as false except that which is made in the enunciation of the proposition." He then proceeds to notice that Euclid employs indirect proofs like those of I. 6, 14, etc., and indirect proofs like those of I. 19, 25, etc., in which it is shown that neither side of a possible alternative can be true, and from this the truth of the proposition is inferred.

All proofs of this kind depend on the *Principle of the Excluded Middle*, which was known to the earliest Greek logicians, and is thus enunciated by Thompson:—"Either a given judgment must be true, or its contradictory; there is no middle course. In other words if two propositions are contradictory one of them must be true, and the other false, so that the proof of a proposition forces us to abandon its contradictory, and the disproof of a proposition compels us to accept its contradictory." Or, as Mr. Mill states it, "That the premises cannot be true if the conclusion is false, is the unexceptionable foundation of the legitimate mode of reasoning called a *reductio ad absurdum*."

For example, in the sixth proposition of Euclid I. the question to be decided is whether two certain lines are *equal* or *not equal* under given conditions. The only possible suppositions are expressed by the two directly contradictory propositions: The two lines are equal; the two lines are not equal. As there is no tenable supposition intermediate between these two extremes, all middle ground is excluded. We cannot, for instance, assume the middle position: the two lines are partly equal and partly unequal. The proof then proceeds to test the truth of the second proposition by deducing conclusions from it. It is found to lead to the conclusion, a part of a line is equal to the whole of that line, and this conclusion is known to be false. Hence we infer that the second pro-