## US "cultural fascism" mocked

The Perfect Party Rice Theatre, Citadel Run ends December 27

## review by June Chua

review by June Chua
The Perfect Party is one perfect mish-mash
of predictable situations, crude jokes and
tiresome characters. The play focuses on a
professor who gives up his job in order to
become the number one host in America.
The one obstacle in his way is the statuscrosscious New York social reviewer. Naturally, the action of the play concerns the
professor's efforts to induce the critic to
write a raving review of his party.
The most memorable, and hindings organ.

write a raving review of his party.

The most memorable, and binding, component of this production was the Jewish couple, Wes and Wilma (played by Blair Haynes and Idina Rabinovitch). They first appear to the audience in bathrobes, towels and sneakers claiming to be just ordinary middle-class people. Haynes and Rabinovitch present he stereotypical lewish couple, as they have emotional fights over trivialities when they say what's on their minds and make up just as passionately. These two actors play their characters to the hilt, making the personalities of Wes and Wilma endearing to the audience.

Vince Metcalle as Tony, the professor,

Vince Metcalfe as Tony, the professor, gives a rather flat, cut-and-dried performance of a man in a mid-life crisis. Although his portrayal of a lusty Italian is noteworthy his monologues tend to be long-winded. his monologues tend to be forgammed compared with the dialogues between Wes and Wilma. Similarly, Judy Mahbey's per-formance as his wife, Sally, tends to escalate to an irritating shrill. At times, her ditziness is too forced, becoming monotonous and

Conversely, Lois (Kim Culkin), the social critic, is priceless. She is ever-smiling, ever-so-sophisticated and oh so viciously ambicritic, is priceizes, site is every armining, every so-sophisticated and on so viciously ambi-tious. Culkin gives just the right amount of worldly melodramatism and bored urbanism, using lots of hand/body language. More-over, the character of Lois often implies deeper, more sexual or ambitious, meanings behind her words, and Culkin reveals these meanings with sophisticated nuances that make the audience laugh a lot.

make the adulence laugh a lot. Throughout the play, bits of philosophy surface, interspersed in the conversations between characters, For instance, when Tony begs tols not to leave, she quite suddenly comments that the modern world has lost "the element of suspense and excitement" in human gatherines. Incis them bearase the human gatherines. Incis them bearase the "the element of suspense and excitement" in human gatherings. Lois then berates the upper middle-class for "smug, self-congratulation" for its affluence. This is somewhat surprising coming from a shallow woman who wants to get away from reviewing church functions and charity events.

ing church functions and charity events.

Also, near the end, Sally asks Tony to
accept his friends as they are and hinself as
he Is, for in this "random disorder lies the
future of America." Then, she draws an
analogy between Tony and the U.S., saying
that "America is attempting to give the
perfect party all over the world...ti's cultural
facsism!" These statements are hardly believable coming from a woman who's not-allquite-there most of the time.

All in all. Jonent the majority of the

able coming from a woman who's not-an-quite-there most of the time.

All in all, I spent the majority of the evening smiling and laughing here and there. In my view, A.R. Gurney's satire of "social ambition" and its underlying theme of America's need for "sexual, social, and cultural imperialism," falls short of its intent. The play seems to rely solely on the shock value of the graphic profanity and sexual connotations of its "well-bred" characters. As a result, the dirty jokes cloud what the play is suppose to be satirizing.

"However, Ifeel that the fault lies mainly with the script and not with this particular production of it. Many of the phrases were too obviously political and seemed not to come out of the character's own revelations. Also, the situations and their consequences could be anticipated after awhile.

could be anticipated after awhile.

Despite these faults, the audience re-sponded well to the play, reacting to its many subtleties. So if you like light-hearted plays with bawdy jokes, then *The Perfect Party* is worth a look, but don't expect much more.



Mark Schoenberg, director: "This is one of the strangest plays I've ever come across

## Putting on The Perfect Party

interview by June Chua

"This is one of the strangest plays I've ever me across" says Mark Schoenberg, director of The Perfect Party, which is playing at the Citadel. A major problem for him with this production was to "find a way to make it consistent for the actors, since the play is a (conglomeration) of many things." Schoenberg describes it as "part-sitcom, part-farce and part political satire." This was the basis for his interest in the play, as it "marries" erest in the play, as it "marries many styles.

many styles. In addition, the play has an underlying political statement on American cultural imperialism that has pervaded other nations And Schoenberg agrees with this perspective. He believes that since Americans can no longer physically impose their ideals on other countries, they practise a kind of "closet imperialism", indirectly dominating foreign cultures.

toreign cultures.
This attitude is particularly important to Canada. "Since Canada does not have a national theatre, (Canadians) tend to imitate the Americans. Americans have Broadway to measure up to, while Canada has no national standard." Schoenberg notes. "Although the Stratford Festival comes close, it obviously borrowed the idea from England."

porrowed the idea from England.

An American himself, Schoenberg comments that there is one basic difference between Canadian and American perspectives on art forms: "the Canadian perspective is laid back, cool...while the American sensibility is hot — to borrow a phrase from Marshall McLuhan." Schoenberg stresses the importance of passion in artistic pursuits; one must totally surrender to the art, intellectually and spiritually.

The deems.

"The deeper I have to go, or the more layered and convoluted a play is...the happier I am," states Schoenberg with a satisfied Tam, states Schoenberg with a stoshed smile, In essence, this comment reflects his varied tastes: Chekhov, Eugene O'Neill, Shakespeare, Harold Pinter, and Tom Stop-pard are favorite playwrights. He especially likes the "gentle pessimism" of Chekhov's plays, which "embrace the full range of human experience."

Schoenberg has his roots in the USA. He graduated from Carnegie-Mellon with an MFA in directing, and debuted with All the King's Men in New York. He soon returned to graduate school and came out with a PhD to graduate school and came out with a PhD in the are production from Iulane. He taught at a university of North Carolina for some time, but in 1969 he was drawn to Edmonton by the offer of a teaching job with the BFA drama program at the university, and the possibility of forming a drama company. The following year. Theatre 2 was born and was soon "regarded as the best small theatre in Canada." However, it was consumed by a scandal involving the president who was embezzling the furnost, by that time Schoenberg had alterady left), Happily, it arose from the ashes and was reborn as the Phoenis Theatre."

Ineatre.
Schoenberg's past credits include many of
Chekhov's plays, including The Seagull, and
The Three Sisters. Also Eugene O'Neill's A
Long Day's Journey into Night. In the future,
he would like to do a production of
Chekhov's The Cherry Orchard and O'Neill's
The Iceman Cometh.

Schoenberg most enjoys the live theatre, "because it gives me a chance to exercise my creative energies and allows me to try many of my ideas out." He divides his time well: he is a teacher at Grant MacEwan, producer and is a teacher at Grant Mactwan, producer and director of the stage and radio, and at one time the drama critic for CBC. He sees the role of director as "helping the actor find the extension in the character (in order) to play the role for all it's worth."

the role for all its worth.

Presently, he is regional drama producer for the CBC. Consequently, he will be producing ten live, five minute producions based on newspaper headlines of the morning, during the Winter Olympics in Calgary. This is the kind of risk, upon which excitement and energy Schoenberg thrives.

Berrowing lines from the professor in The

ment and energy Schoenberg Inrives.

Borrowing lines from the professor in The 
Perfect Party, Schoenberg encapsulates his 
personality, motivation and work: "I want to 
be either loved or hated...least of all, I don't 
want to be ignored!" Adds Schoenberg, with 
a devilish glint in his eyes, "and I am seldom 
impored."

## Food fight in play

Doris and Laura Nexus Theatre Run ends December 19

review by Carole Amerongen

If you think that food fights are only for the young, you are wrong. There is nothing quite so entertaining as a good flour fight quite so entertaining as a good flour light between two women over sixty-five. You can see one live, right now, in the Nexus Theatre's current production of Doris and Laura. This is not the kind of play you will be talking about years from now, but it is well worth seeing. Doris and Laura is a humorous and touching story that is good Christmas enter-tainment. You cannot help but identify with

their longing to change the past.

Doris is notyour typical grandmother. She is a frisky, fun-doving woman, always ready to recall those incriminating memories Laura would like to forget. Laura is the opposite: a conservative, reserved evently vear old, the type who wonders if she needs yet another "lovely black dress" from Sears. If Laura whimiscially recalls a woman they both knew as being "so kind," Doris says: "She needed a good poke."

Doris and Laura, differences.

good poke.

Doris and Laura's differences create humour but, as it turns out, their natures result from something more serious. When the women were teenagers, they lost their parents, and Laura suddenly became responsible

for the care of her younger sister, Doris. The tor the care of her younger sister, Dons. The sisters never did get along; they are both near seventy yet they still do not see eye to eye. Laura still feels weighted down and Doris feels unloved. "I spent a fortune looking after you," Laura bitterly recalls. "You mean that dime you gave me when we went to Swift Current?" asks Doris.

Since both women have lost their hus-bands, they decide to spend Christmas to-gether. The grievances of their youth fre-quently creep into the conversation until the sisters finally face the truth underlying their

Don Lemka won the 1987 ATP Experi Speaks Playwriting Competition. His script is full of comic exchanges, but that does not take away from the story's statement. The theme is merely masked by the humour.

Barbara Reese makes a great Doris. She

comfortably slips into her character's "ready for anything" temperament. Doris' sense of adventure and joy in recalling embarrassing childhood memories seems natural for Reese childhood memones seems natural for Neese. Doreen Ibsen, who plays Laura, also masters her role. All of her mannerisms are reminis-cent of a typical woman of seventy. She stiffly sits with her shawl wrapped tightly around her shoulders and works on her needlepoint.

Doris and Laura is a one act play, approximately one hour in length. Under the direction of John Milton Branton, it runs smoothly. The set is average, as it is supposed to be. It looks much like the modest apartment where Laura might live

Doris and Laura plays on Friday and Satur-day nights at 7 p.m. Through the week, you can catch a midday showing but sorry, even if you bring your lunch, you cannot get in on the food fight.