

up to obtain ideas in respect of the whole problem. Also, if the hon. member had looked at the terms of reference he would know that the inquiry has been empowered to go outside in order to obtain special research in all these areas.

Mr. Rynard: I am glad they have this power because certainly one member of the committee which has been set up by the minister is a specialist in drug addiction.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

WEEKLY STATEMENT

Mr. G. W. Baldwin (Peace River): Mr. Speaker, may I be permitted to ask the President of the Privy Council, who seems all prepared to answer, what he is going to do tomorrow and, in view of the solicitations of his friends on the government back benches, what are his plans for next week?

Hon. Donald S. Macdonald (President of the Privy Council): Yes, Mr. Speaker. As hon. members know, today we will be starting with Bill C-202, the area development incentives bill. If we complete the report stage of that bill we will continue with Bill C-207 which deals with Atlantic transportation assistance. Tomorrow, as was indicated by my request for a designation on the Order Paper, it would be our proposal to call first the two ways and means motions. As hon. members know, they are not subject to debate at that time. This will be for the purpose of giving first reading to the two bills to follow those motions.

We will then call as the next item of business Bill C-202, in respect of area development incentives. This will be followed by Bill C-120 respecting the official languages. As I indicated to the right hon. member for Prince Albert (Mr. Diefenbaker), Tuesday of next week will be a holiday. The house will sit on Monday and Wednesday and the remaining days for the purpose of finishing those legislative items and then for giving attention to a motion to deal with the question of time allocation.

I might indicate that I plan to give notice later today of a motion dealing with the question of time allocation in conformity with the decision of the Standing Committee on Procedure and Organization. I should like to be able to explain the purpose of the proposed motion in more detail, but it will be out of order for me to do so at this time. I mention

Regional Development Incentives Act

this notice of motion at this time because the present plan is that this motion will be the item of business to be called as soon as the several legislative items I already have mentioned have been dealt with by the house.

Mr. Thomas M. Bell (Saint John-Lancaster): Mr. Speaker, may I ask why we went on staggered hours when the house leader knew all the time he was going to bring in this last minute business about the rules?

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear.

Mr. Macdonald (Rosedale): The hon. member seems to be suffering from a staggered memory because I made it clear at all times that these four items had to be dealt with before the summer adjournment.

GOVERNMENT ORDERS

REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT INCENTIVES ACT

MEASURE TO PROVIDE FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF PRODUCTIVE EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES—REPORT STAGE

The house proceeded to the consideration of Bill C-202, to provide incentives for the development of productive employment opportunities in regions of Canada determined to require special measures to facilitate economic expansion and social adjustment, as reported (with amendments) from the Standing Committee on Regional Development.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. As hon. members will have noted, there are a number of motions relating to Bill C-202 which is now before us. As has been done on similar occasions in the past the Chair, with the assistance of its learned advisers, has looked at these amendments. Before they are put to the house I should like to suggest to hon. members it might be convenient, when we open discussion on the first motion standing in the name of the hon. member for Oshawa-Whitby, to debate and consider at the same time the proposed motion standing in the name of the hon. member for Egmont.

The motion proposed by the hon. member for Oshawa-Whitby is perhaps more general than the motion proposed by the hon. member for Egmont. It would be extremely difficult to limit the discussion to the motion proposed by the hon. member for Oshawa-Whitby without allowing hon. members to discuss the motion which stands as No. 2 on