

	Page
A certain <i>note's</i> engrossment in the text of Discipline accounted for—The changes with regard to Local Preachers—Circuit Meetings—Plan .....	24
Letter of the Rev. John Reynolds (note) .....	26
Received the suffrage of the required majority—Hopes inspired—Editor's valedictory.....	27
What intended for good made occasion of harm .....	28
Mr. Culp originator (text and note).....	29
Meeting at Saltfleet—Governor's Road.....	30
Belleville meeting—Stand at London.....	31
The facts with regard to Mr. Bailey.....	32
Convention at Trafalgar, <i>Guardian's</i> account.....	34
Untoward events incidentally arising.....	35
VI. OBJECTIONS TO THE IDENTITY OF THE WESLEYAN METHODIST CHURCH IN CANADA WITH THE ORIGINAL METHODIST EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN CANADA:	
Variously put forth.....	35
1. <i>Abolishing Episcopacy</i> —	
Unchurches all other Methodist bodies.....	35
Letter of inquiry from Rev. E. Ryerson—Reply of Rev. E. Cooper, showing that Epi-copacy not necessary to the Church, and that it might be modified, or done away.....	36
Wesley's opinion ( <i>infra</i> ).....	39
Replies of the Revs. Thos. Morell and Thos. Ware to the same effect.....	40
Origin of the name M. E. Church.....	41
Opinions of leading ministers in that Church in accordance with the above—	
Rev. Dr. Luckey's letter.....	42
Do. from Rev. Dr. Hedding, senior bishop—Mr. Stratton's confirmation..	43, 44
Two Book Agents' ditto—Letter from Rev. E. Ryerson to Rev. Dr. Fisk....	45
President Fisk's reply favoring the views of the others.....	46
Provisions of the Canada Discipline .....	47
Sworn testimony of the Secretary of the General Conference—Note on the case of Messrs. Wood and Bevlitt.....	48
Secretary's sworn testimony continued.....	49, 50, 51, 52, 53
2. <i>Objection: Change of the Church's Name</i> —	
This objection anticipated by the Conference .....	54
Messrs. Bidwell and Rolph's legal opinion.....	55
Sustained by the Civil Courts during the six suits for the recovery of the Church property.....	56
3. <i>Objection: President from England</i> .....	
Wesley and Coke's foreign relations and residence—Summing up of the argument.....	57, 58
VII. CLAIMS OF THE REDOUTABLE CHALLENGERS:	
Tests for eliminating the truth—Who originated the challenging body?....	59
The order and dates of their respective adhesions—Dr. Webster's version—	
Rev. D. Culp—Mr. John Bailey—D. Pickett.....	60
J. W. Byam—J. H. Huston—Messrs. R. and G.—Case of Mr. Reynolds—Neither he nor Culp, nor Pickett, nor Huston, "Travelling Elders" to constitute a General Conference—Rev. H. Wilkinson's testimony in Court ( <i>infra</i> )—Rev. J. Gatchell having gone with the Union measure, &c.—His history.....	62, 63
Episcopal's own statement as to the time of their first General Conference—Report of Committee and deliverance of the Cincinnati General Conference, 1836 .....	65
The provisions of the Canada Discipline did not provide for their action—No <i>Episcopos</i> had ever existed in Canada Methodism.....	66
The manner of prosecuting the devisive objects.....	67
Truth and sadness of the above—Matters held in abeyance ( <i>infra</i> )—The history of the appeals to the American General Conference, and the shape the matters finally took.....	68
If the Episcopal's were not pertinacious and boastful, it might be allowed to pass—The present awkwardness of the case—The author's opinion of the course to be pursued if the present anomaly cannot be mitigated..	70