

2. Of the above, how many were approved for support by Opportunities for Youth?

3. What was the name of each such program or applicant?

4. What was the reason for approval in each case?

5. Of the programs or applicants cited in Part 3, which ones had been denied support by (a) Canada Council (b) any agency of the Department of the Secretary of State (c) any other federal grant-giving agency?

6. Of the programs or applicants cited in Part 5 (b), what was the agency of the Department of the Secretary of State which originally declined support?

7. Of the programs or applicants cited in Part 5 (c), what was the other agency which originally declined support?

**Mr. Gilles Marceau (Parliamentary Secretary to Secretary of State):** Mr. Speaker, this is the answer to the question: 1. Opportunities for Youth does not require applicants to provide information regarding previous unsuccessful requests for support by the Canada Council, any agency of the Department of the Secretary of State, or any other federal grant-giving agency. However, Opportunities for Youth does ask applicants to divulge all aid other than the OFY grant which is available to the project; such aid may take the form of financial support, materials and supplies, facilities, or expertise. Projects are specifically required to identify the source of this support. In the 1973 Programme's "Notes for Applicants", applicants are encouraged to seek additional support from non-OFY sources to partially cover the expenses of their project submission.

2 to 7. Not applicable.

#### CONTRACT FOR BLOTTING PAPER

Question No. 2,166—**Mr. Hales:**

1. Was a contract KP.66600-2-W334 for blotting paper during the period April 12, 1973 to April 11, 1974 in the amount of \$13,527 awarded to Domtar Fine Papers Ltd., Montreal, Quebec?

2. Were tenders called and, if so (a) what are the names and addresses of those who tendered (b) was the lowest tender accepted?

3. Were there any extra charges over and above the above figure and, if so, what were they?

4. Where were the goods used and by what department of government?

5. How were suppliers notified of government requirements?

**Hon. Jean-Pierre Goyer (Minister of Supply and Services):** 1. Yes.

2. No. Domtar Fine Paper Ltd., Montreal is the only known manufacturer of this grade of paper.

3. No.

4. Requisition was raised by the Department of Supply and Services for warehouse stocks and subsequently supplied to all requesting Departments.

5. By formal Invitation to Tender to sole source.

#### HEALTH AND WELFARE—FUNDS PROVIDED TO "IMAGINE PENTICTON"

Question No. 2,183—**Mr. Whittaker:**

1. (a) What departments supply funds to "Imagine Penticton" through the Penticton Human Resources Organization in Penticton, British Columbia (b) what sums of money have been designated to this organization to date?

2. (a) What are the specific objectives of this organization (b) are regular reports of its activities being received and, if so, what are they and to whom are they sent?

3. (a) How long is the grant to be continued (b) are any funds from this grant being shared with the Penticton Human Resources Society and, if so, how much?

**Hon. Marc Lalonde (Minister of National Health and Welfare):** 1. (a) National Health and Welfare, under the Non-Medical Use of Drugs Program. (b) 1971-72, \$10,000; 1972-73, \$75,000; 1973-74, \$40,000, (for fiscal year).

2. (a) The specific objective of this program is the prevention of drug related problems through stimulating the development of available community resources. (b) Yes, regular reports are received by Non-Medical Use of Drugs Directorate Headquarters in Ottawa in the following manner: (i) Field reports prepared by the British Columbia project officer, as required; and, (ii) Year end progress report prepared by the staff of the project, accompanied by an assessment report prepared by the British Columbia project officer.

3. (a) Funding of the project is to remain until October 31, 1973, with continuation of funding dependent upon the project's progress up to that date. (b) No.

#### CNR—COMMUTER TRAIN SERVICE OPERATION

Question No. 2,212—**Mr. Stackhouse:**

1. What were the operating profits or deficits by CNR for commuter train services into Montreal during the years 1970, 1971 and 1972?

2. How much of these deficits, if any, were paid by (a) CNR (b) the government?

**Hon. Jean Marchand (Minister of Transport):** The Management of Canadian National Railways advises: CNR incurred a deficit of \$2.1 million in 1970, \$2.2 million in 1971 and estimates a deficit of 2.4 million for 1972. These deficits apply to both the Mount Royal Tunnel and South Shore Commuter Services. No subsidy has ever been received for losses incurred on commuter operation. CNR has been obliged to cover these losses from general revenues.

#### PROPOSED R CLASS ICEBRAKERS

Question No. 2,228—**Mr. Forrestall:**

With respect to the proposed R class icebreakers presently under consideration by the government (a) will such vessels be equipped with bow thrusters (b) what will be the total fuel capacity (c) will such hulls be totally or partially ice-reinforced (d) will the fuel tanks be insulated from the shell plating by either (i) ballast tanks (ii) bulkheads and, if not, what structural precautions will be taken to avoid an oil spill in the event of hull rupture in the vicinity of fuel tanks?

**Hon. Jean Marchand (Minister of Transport):** 1. (a) Yes; (b) 2,075 tons; (c) totally; (d) Special interior strengthening and high grade steel in hull.