Private Bills

be any confusion in the minds of hon. members, let me say briefly that according to the definition in the bill Ukrainian culture means the culture of the Ukrainian people in the fields of letters, fine arts, literature and science. This, Mr. Speaker, is the purpose of the bill, and I am honoured to have the opportunity of moving second reading.

Mr. H. A. Olson (Medicine Hat): Mr. Speaker, when the bill was considered in the miscellaneous private bills committee last session it bogged down a little because of a misunderstanding as to what powers the foundation would have in dealing with donations, contributions and legacies from donors. I do not wish to engage in a long debate or a repetition of what took place at that time, but my understanding then was that there was some confusion whether foundation would have the power to use the actual moneys received in donations, contributions and legacies or whether it could use only the income derived from investing these moneys. In looking at clause 4 of the bill I see the same wording and I am wondering whether it is now definitely the objective of the foundation that it will be restricted to using the income from its investments.

Mr. Mandziuk: Mr. Speaker, I am glad that the hon, member remembers the difficulties—

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Batten): Order. I must point out that if the hon. member for Marquette speaks again he will close the debate.

Mr. Mandziuk: I am just answering the question, but if there is any further debate I do not think it should be restricted.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Batten): I would suggest to the hon, member that he might wait and see if there are any other speakers on the bill. The hon, member for Marquette.

Mr. Nicholas Mandziuk (Marquette): Mr. Speaker, I am glad that the hon. member remembers the difficulties we had in the miscellaneous private bills committee in the last parliament. I do not want to go into the changes in this bill compared with the bill introduced in the last parliament. Changes have been made to meet certain objections. I believe the objections have been met and I suggest that if the bill is referred to the miscellaneous private bills committee the situation will be clarified. I do not think we should take too much time of the house on this particular point.

Motion agreed to, bill read the second time and referred to the standing committee on miscellaneous private bills.

[Mr. Mandziuk.]

THE EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN SYNOD OF CANADA

Mr. Eric A. Winkler (Grey-Bruce) moved the second reading of Bill No. S-24, respecting the Evangelical Lutheran Synod of Canada.

He said: Mr. Speaker, you will note that there are two bills on the order paper in respect of the Lutheran church. This bill No. S-24 is followed by No. S-23, and the latter bill is contingent upon the passing of Bill No. S-24. Both of these are very simple bills, but they would have a tremendous effect on the organization to which reference is made. I might say that I am honoured and privileged to have this opportunity of sponsoring both of these bills, and of having the support of the hon. member for Queens-Lunenburg (Mr. Crouse), because they concern this organization of which I am a member.

Bill No. S-24 simply gives effect to an agreement of consolidation within this body, effective as of July 1, 1962, whereby four different branches of the Lutheran church in the United States and Canada, namely, American Evangelical Lutheran Church, the Augustana Evangelical Lutheran Church of America and the United Lutheran Church in America agreed to consolidate under the name of Lutheran Church in America. This bill gives such title to this consolidation. I ask that it now be given second reading.

Motion agreed to, bill read the second time and referred to the standing committee on miscellaneous private bills.

SECOND READING—SENATE BILL

Bill No. S-23 respecting the Canada Board of American Missions of the United Lutheran Church in America—Mr. Winkler.

WESTERN CANADA VETERINARY COLLEGE

PROVISION OF FACILITIES FOR STUDY OF VETERINARY MEDICINE AND SURGERY

Mr. J. N. Ormiston (Melville) moved the second reading of Bill No. C-16, to found and constitute the western Canada veterinary college.

He said: Mr. Speaker, I realize that our time is somewhat curtailed this afternoon, and I realize also that quite a few of the members of the house wish to take part in this debate. I shall, therefore, state my case as briefly as possible. However, before initiating the discussion of the bill, I should like to express my appreciation to my colleague, the hon. member for Parry Sound-Muskoka (Mr. Aiken) for his timely intervention last Thursday, which prevented this bill from being put to the bottom of the list