

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

The following answers, deposited with the Clerk of the house, are printed in the official report of debates pursuant to standing order 39:

AIRCRAFT LANDING FACILITIES, FORT CHIPEWYAN

Question No. 157—Mr. Dechene:

1. Has the government taken steps to provide greatly needed all-weather landing facilities for airplanes, at Fort Chipewyan?

2. Has agreement been entered into with the provincial authorities to secure the best available site, and for the maintenance of a road in fair condition between the said landing strip or field and the townsite of Fort Chipewyan?

Answer by: Mr. MacDonald, Parliamentary Assistant, for the Minister of Transport:

1 and 2. Yes. Following negotiations, the provincial government has indicated willingness to provide the necessary land and to be responsible for the maintenance of the airport, and to consider maintaining the access road from the village to the airport. Negotiations with the province are continuing and, if concluded successfully, the federal government would be prepared to assist in the provision of the strip.

NON-PERMANENT REGIMENTS BEARING TITLE
"ROYAL"

Question No. 229—Mr. Hahn:

1. What is the location and name of each of the Canadian regiments, not being of the permanent force, which bear the title "Royal"?

2. In what year was each regiment given the insignia "Royal"?

Answer by: Hon. G. R. Pearkes (Minister of National Defence):

1. (a) 17th Duke of York's Royal Canadian Hussars (7th reconnaissance regiment), Montreal, P.Q. (b) 6th Duke of Connaught's Royal Canadian Hussars (15th armoured regiment), Montreal, P.Q. (c) The Royal Rifles of Canada, Quebec, P.Q. (d) The Royal Regiment of Canada, Toronto, Ont. (e) The Royal Hamilton Light Infantry (Wentworth regiment), Hamilton, Ont. (f) 1st battalion, the Royal New Brunswick regiment (Carleton and York), Saint John, N.B. (g) 2nd battalion, the Royal New Brunswick regiment (North Shore), Newcastle, N.B. (h) The Royal Winnipeg Rifles, Winnipeg, Man. (i) The Royal Montreal Regiment, Montreal, P.Q. (j) The Royal Newfoundland Regiment, St. John's, Nfld. (k) 3rd battalion, the Black Watch (Royal Highland regiment) of Canada, Montreal, P.Q. (l) 4e bataillon, Royal 22e regiment (Chateauguay), Montreal, P.Q. (m) 6e bataillon, Royal 22e regiment St. Hyacinthe, P.Q.

Questions

2. (a) 1 June 1898; (b) 20 June 1890; (c) 3 January 1910; (d) 3 January 1910; (e) 3 January 1910; (f) 18 May 1956; (g) 18 May 1956; (h) 3 June 1935; (i) 1 September 1923; (j) 14 December 1949; (k) 3 January 1910; (l) 1 January 1921; (m) 1 January 1921.

UNEMPLOYMENT REGISTRATION, P.E.I.

Question No. 267—Mr. Murphy (Westmorland):

How many persons were registered unemployed in (a) Prince Edward Island; (b) Charlottetown; (c) Summerside; on (i) December 1, 1956; (ii) December 1, 1957?

Answer by: Hon. Michael Starr (Minister of Labour):

Offices of the unemployment insurance commission at Charlottetown and Summerside serve the province of Prince Edward Island. Data on the number of persons registered for employment at these offices would represent the total number of persons so registered within the province. Such data are not available for December 1, 1957. However, at November 28, 1957, the number of persons registered for employment at each of these offices was as follows:—

Charlottetown	1,185
Summerside	751

On the corresponding date in 1956, the figures were as follows:

Charlottetown	1,127
Summerside	501

HARBOUR PROJECT, CARRON POINT, N.B.

Question No. 281—Mr. Robichaud:

1. Have discussions taken place since November 1, 1957, between representatives of Brunswick Mining and Smelting Corporation and officials of the Department of Public Works in connection with the harbour project at Carron point near Bathurst, Gloucester county, New Brunswick?

2. If so, has any decision been taken to proceed with this project during 1958?

Answer by: Mr. Hodgson, Parliamentary Assistant, for the Minister of Public Works:

1. No discussions have taken place since November 1957 between representatives of the Brunswick Mining and Smelting Corporation and officials of the Department of Public Works, although the corporation has been in correspondence with the department since that time. Discussions between the department and the corporation will be held in the near future.

2. No decision has yet been taken to proceed with this project during 1958. A decision on this matter will depend on the discussions referred to in No. 1.