

Institute Publications 1987-88

OCCASIONAL PAPERS

2. **Trends in Continental Defence: A Canadian Perspective**, by David Cox, December 1986, 50 pages.
3. **Arctic Arms Control: Constraints and Opportunities**, by Ronald G. Purver, February 1988.

ANNUAL REVIEW

A Guide to Canadian Policies on Arms Control, Disarmament, Defence and Conflict Resolution 1986-87, 270 pages.

BACKGROUND PAPERS

11. **Nuclear Disarmament: The Gorbachev Initiative**, by John R. Walker, January 1987.
12. **Who's Ahead: Examining the Nuclear Balance**, by Jane Boulden, March 1987.
13. **Review of the Geneva Negotiations on Strategic Arms Reductions**, by David Cox, June 1987.
14. **The Stockholm Agreement: An Exercise in Confidence Building**, by C.A. Namiesniowski, August 1987.
15. **Peacekeeping and the Management of International Conflict**, by Henry Wiseman, September 1987.
16. **Accidental Nuclear War: Reducing the Risks**, by Dianne DeMille, January 1988.
17. **Chemical Disarmament: From the Ban on Use to a Ban on Possession**, by Jozef Goldblat, February 1988.
18. **Has the ABM Treaty a Future?** by Ronald G. Purver, February 1988.

POINTS OF VIEW

2. **Nuclear Weapons and the Averting of War**, by Robert Malcolmson, October 1986.
3. **Canadian Press Coverage of Arms Control and Disarmament Issues**, by John R. Walker, March 1987.
4. **Maintaining Peace With Freedom: Nuclear Deterrence and Arms Control**, by Lorne Green, March 1987.
5. **Towards a World Space Organization**, by Elisabeth Mann Borgese, November 1987.

CONFERENCE REPORTS

4. **Peace, Development and Security in the Caribbean: Perspectives to the Year 2000**, Proceedings of a Conference, Kingston, Jamaica, 22-25 March 1987, by Lloyd Searwar.
5. **Measures for Peace in Central America**, 8-9 May 1987, by Liisa North, December 1987.
6. **The International Trade in Arms: Problems and Prospects**, by Keith Krause, March 1988.

NOTE FROM THE EDITOR

■ In 1981 while filming interviews for the National Film Board film series *War*, we did one in a Washington hotel room with Fritz Ermarth, then an independent strategic analyst and Sovietologist, who went on to fill various positions in the CIA and National Security Council. Alas, as so often happens in movie making the entire interview ended up on the cutting-room floor. I say alas, because he spoke well and convincingly about how the Soviets view the world in military terms.

While writing the piece inside on deterrence, one small moment of this interview came to mind again. When, inevitably, the subject of the Cuban Missile Crisis came around Ermarth spoke in almost wistful tones about the bygone days of American nuclear superiority. The fact that the US was able to push the Russian missiles out of Cuba without suffering some form of retaliation in a place where the Soviets had local military superiority on the ground – Berlin was his example – was proof of the usefulness of having a lot more nuclear weapons than the other side. What happened to the Russians in 1962, he went on

to say, is the answer to Henry Kissinger's question, "What in the name of God is strategic superiority? What is the significance of it, politically, militarily, operationally, at these levels of numbers? What do you do with it?"

If Ermarth's attitude is representative, then this "lesson" from Cuba has indeed been absorbed into the highest levels of military planning in the West. And more than a few commentators in recent years have seen implicit in the buildup of US nuclear forces a desire to return to the halcyon days when the West was way ahead in the bomb count. Just as many have ascribed the Soviet nuclear buildup since Cuba to their fierce determination never to let the Cuban "humiliation" happen again. The subject of *The Banality of Deterrence* is the nature of this lesson and the conclusions of some who think we all learned the wrong one.

The cover story this issue is by **Kevin McMahon**. McMahon travelled through the Canadian Arctic during the autumn of last year, interviewing northerners, mostly Inuit. Among the communities he visited were Iqaluit, Hall Beach, Resolute Bay, Cambridge Bay and Gjoa Haven.

Gilles Paquin's story is a cautionary one about the dangers of sending Canadian peacekeeping troops into Central America. Included is an excerpt from the first and final report of the short-lived Verification Commission; an international body that was charged with the difficult task of evaluating how well each of the Central American countries was living up to the peace agreement signed last year.

Steve Godfrey looks at the economic condition of the states that border on South Africa, and the vital role he believes Canada could play to help the Frontline states secure their frontiers.

The future of the Canadian peace movement in the post-cruise missile era is the subject of **Tony Rogers'** article. In his view, the peace movement needs to adjust its strategy if it is to rally Canadians and influence government policy.

And finally, **Shannon Selin** asks the question – then answers it in a personal essay – whether women could run the world better than men.

– Michael Bryans

CANADIAN INSTITUTE
FOR INTERNATIONAL
PEACE AND SECURITY

307 Gilmour Street
Ottawa, Ontario K2P 0P7
(613) 990-1593

Executive Director:
Geoffrey Pearson

Board of Directors:
William Barton, *Chairman*
Christoph Bertram
Lise Bissonnette
David Braide
Gisèle Côté-Harper
Ann Gertler
John Halstead
Kal Holsti
Jacques Levesque
Richard Mercier
Geoffrey Pearson
John Sigler
Brian Urquhart
Jean-Guy Vaillancourt
Paul Warnke
Lois Wilson

The Canadian Institute for International Peace and Security was created by an act of the Parliament of Canada in 1984 to increase knowledge and understanding of the issues relating to international peace and security from a Canadian perspective. The Institute does not advocate policy positions, nor is it part of the government decision-making apparatus. The Institute is a Crown Corporation. Members of its Board of Directors were appointed by the Government of Canada after consultation with all recognized parties in the House of Commons. These provisions help to ensure the independence of the Institute.

PEACE & SECURITY

Editorial Board:
Nancy Gordon, *Chairman*

David Cox
Roger Hill
Hilary Mackenzie
Madeleine Poulin

Editor:
Michael Bryans

Associate Editor:
Hélène Samson

French Review Page Editor:
Jocelyn Coulon

Design and Production:
The Spencer Francey Group,
Ottawa/Toronto

Translation:
(except where otherwise indicated)
Denis Bastien,
Sogestran Inc.

Second Class Mail
Registration Pending.
Postage paid in Ottawa.

ISSN 0831-1846

Peace & Security is published quarterly to let Canadians know what the Institute is doing and to provide a forum for the full range of Canadian viewpoints on these issues. Opinions expressed in each article are the sole responsibility of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the Institute and its Board of Directors. Your letters are welcome.

Text material from this quarterly may be reproduced, although we ask that the Institute be cited as the source. Illustrations, cartoons, graphics and other accompanying artwork are copyright and may not be reproduced without written consent of the Institute and the artist.