

and mixed matters, 131.—Anglican Church in Canada, 131.—Privileges of General Assembly, 132.—Ecclesiastical Institutions of Nova Scotia, 132.—Of Quebec, 133.—Rights of Ecclesiastical Corporations of Quebec, 134.—Ecclesiastical law applicable to Protestant Churches of Canada, 135.—The law in secular matters, 135.—Cases cited, 136.—Opinion of Doucet, 138.—Union between Church and State in Canada, 138.—Cases cited, 140.—Decisions in the Guibord case, 141.—Marriage of a deceased wife's sister, 145.—Necessity of harmony between Church and State, 146.

EDICT of 1695, 9—Of 1743, 259, 281, 296.

EXPROPRIATION. Very little established jurisprudence in this Province on the subject, 70. The state of the law of expropriation in ancient Rome, France and England, 70.—What indemnity should be allowed, 71.—The relations between individuals and the State, 71.—The Powers and supremacy of the State, 71.—The old French law, 71.—The Royal authority, 72.—The law under Louis XIV., 73.—The Code Napoleon, 73.—No fixed jurisprudence on Expropriation under the old *regime*, 73.—The opinion of Del-Marmol, 74.—The opinion of Debray, 74.—The opinion of De Lalleau, 74.—The opinion of Herson, 74.—The Constitution of 1791, 75.—The opinion of Proudham, 75.—The law of England prior to the Revolution of 1688. The opinion of Blackstone, 76.—The case of the Cast Plate Manufacturers *v.* Meredith, 77.—The decision of Buller, J., 77.—Expropriation for Cemeteries, 79.—The Arret of January, 1633, 79.—The Church a right to Expropriation next after the King, 80.—The ord. of Philip Le-Bel, 81.—The Cemetery as compared with the Hospital, 82.—Ordinance of 1306, 82.—Opinion of Merlin, 83.—Authorities cited, 85.—The case of the Mount Royal Cemetery, 86.—Question as to the powers of the Local Legislature 87.—Case of Municipal Cemeteries, 87.—The Act of the Imperial Parliament relative to Cemeteries 88.—The Burial Acts, 88.—State of the law at Rome, 206.—Opinion of Proudhon, 207.—The law in Italy, 219.—The law of the Code, 212.—The Justinian Code, 213.—Opinion of De Fresquet, 214.—Views of Serringy and Bathie, 215.—Modern law of France, 216.—The English law, 217.—The law of 1810 defective, 219, and also the law of 1833, which gave rise to the law of 1841, 220.

FACTUM of Great Britain *in re* Alabama Claims, 190.

FRASER INSTITUTE CASE. The declaration, 249.—Defendants' Plea, 252.—The Will, 253.—The Codicil, 257.—Argument of Mr. Laflamme, Q.C., 258.—Edict of 1743, 259.—The case of Desrivieres and Richardson, 266.—The Will of James McGin, 266.—Action arising out of it, 266.—Decision of Court of Appeal thereon, 268.—Opinion of Judge Aylwin, 269.—The case of the Boston Mining Company and Desbarats, 270.—The law of the Code, 272.—Appellants' second point, 274.—Remarks of Troplong, 276; of Pothier, 276; of Demolombe, 277.—Appellants' third point, 280.—