

Nordheimer & Alexander.—Judgment confirmed.

Dorion & Dorion & Cie. de Prêt.—Judgment reversed, Tessier, J., diss.

Roberge & Cie. Chemin de Fer du Nord. Nos. 20 and 141.—Appeals dismissed.

The following cases heard at Quebec, were also judged :—

Lecours & Jobidon.—Judgment reversed with costs.

Roy & Rodrigue.—Judgment reversed, Tessier, J., diss.

Roy & Martineau.—Judgment confirmed. The Court adjourned to September 16.

INSOLVENT NOTICES, ETC.

Quebec Official Gazette, June 28.

Judicial Abandonments.

- Hélaric Bachand, parish of St. Césaire, June 26.
 Henri Avils Belisle, trader, parish of Ste. Agathe des Monts, June 24.
 T. James Claxton & Co., merchants, Montreal, June 22.
 Dame Edith Matthews, *marchande publique*, wife of H. W. Jewitt, Montreal, June 22.
 Amédée Hardy, doing business under name of "A. Hardy & Cie.," Montreal, June 24.
 Wm. Peatman, merchant, St. Hyacinthe, June 21.
 Peter John Souilly, watchmaker and jeweller, Montreal, June 26.

Curators appointed.

- Re P. J. Boivin.*—N. Matte, Quebec, curator, June 26.
Re Eugène Dallaire, St. Germain du Lac Etchemin.—T. Lessard, N.P., curator, June 18.
Re John F. Hauver, Montreal.—J. McD. Hains, Montreal, curator, June 21.
Re Thomas McRae & Co., Cookshire.—J. McD. Hains, Montreal, curator, June 24.
Re William McCrudden, jun., boot and shoe dealer.—A. W. Stevenson, Montreal, curator, June 24.
Re S. J. McDonald.—C. Millier and J. J. Griffith, Sherbrooke, joint curator, June 24.
Re P. A. Morin, Quebec.—Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator, June 19.
Re H. Samson, Quebec.—D. Arcand, Quebec, curator, June 26.

Dividends.

- Re Wm. Dieterle.*—First and final dividend, S. C. Fatt, Montreal, curator.
Re R. Duckett & Co.—First dividend, payable July 15, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator.
Re R. S. Jacques.—First and final dividend, payable July 15, J. O. Dion, St. Hyacinthe, curator.
Re Timothy Kenna.—Dividend, payable July 16, A. B. Stewart, Montreal, curator.
Re Kerr Piano Co., Montreal.—First and final divi-

dend, payable July 15, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator.

Re Julien Martineau.—First and final dividend, payable July 15, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator.

Re F. A. Mathieu, Montreal.—First and final dividend, payable July 15, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator.

Re J. A. Tranquille, St. Louis de Gonzague.—Dividend, payable July 15, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator.

Re Georges Warren.—First and final dividend, payable July 12, E. Angers, Malbaie, curator.

Separation as to property.

Onésime Boivin vs. Magloire Fournier, trader, parish of St. Alexandre, June 25.

Lucy Maria Cuttill vs. Charles William Koppel, engraver, Montreal, June 19.

Aimée Prince vs. Calixte Hébert, butcher, Larochele, June 24.

GENERAL NOTES.

THE LATE MR. GENDRON.—Mr. P. S. Gendron, formerly prothonotary of Montreal, died at his residence at St. Hyacinthe, June 11, at the age of 60. For many years Mr. Gendron represented the County of Bagot in the Commons. In 1874 he was appointed prothonotary jointly with Messrs. Hubert & Honey. He retired a few years ago.

PERSEVERANCE.—A Toronto correspondent writes:—A young gentleman named Stewart, who was stricken with small-pox during the Montreal epidemic and rendered wholly blind, was to-day admitted to the Bar, having passed his examination with the highest honors. He had to be taught entirely by ear, and the questions were read to him by a child and answered by him on a type-writer.

A CASE TO BE REFERRED.—An English judge had a well known disinclination to try any case involving a question of account. On one occasion the counsel for the plaintiff in a suit brought before his lordship stated in his opening that his client's husband had gone to "his long account." "What is that?" asked the learned judge, pricking up his ears. "A long account? I'm not going to try a question of account. I shall refer this case."

LAW FROM GHENT.—A Belgian subject found himself in New York anxious to return home, but without the means to pay his passage. It occurred to him to surrender himself to the police as a criminal guilty of a very serious charge. The magistrate heard the case, and ordered him to be returned for trial to his own country. On his arrival at Ghent it was shown that no such crime had been committed, and the prisoner was put upon his trial for obtaining by fraud £120, the cost of his journey home. The Ghent tribunal held that, as it could not be proved that the prisoner had not committed the crime, he could not be found guilty of fraudulently representing that he had. He was acquitted, but subsequently convicted of being a vagabond in the streets without the means of subsistence, and sentenced to six months' imprisonment.—*Id.*