

shortening one leg only two and a half inches, \$8,000 was not too much to pay; but in Illinois, \$10,000 was held to be too much for shortening the leg of a man, of three score and ten years old, a couple of inches (64 Ia. 568; 33 Kan. 298; 64 Barb. N. Y. 430; 61 Ia. 452; 12 Ill. App. 561). Verily, judges and juries seem to discriminate against women on this point; perhaps it would be well for legislatures to interfere and fix the price of legs, as they used to fix the prices of wheat or scalps.

In Canada, when the population was smaller than it is now, men valued the legs of their fellow-men at a fancy figure; a bachelor got a jury to give him a verdict of nearly \$25,000, for the loss of one of his, and a few other hurts. The judges, however, interfered and sent the matter back for another jury to sit upon. This was well matched in Montana, where a foot was valued by a jury at \$20,750; but the Court considered that at least \$10,000 too much. (5 U. C. C. P. 127; 5 Mont. 257.) In Texas, at times, children's legs are rated as children's lives are in the North. One of Simpson's bairns, aged twelve, recovered \$3,500 from the Houston & Texas Railway, which had crushed her leg so that it was permanently injured; and that was exactly the same sum that a New York jury gave against the New York Central for the killing of a little damsel of thirteen summers. (60 Tex. 103; 34 Hun, N. Y. 80.)

Women who have had their time wasted through injuries that have been inflicted upon them, and have thus been prevented getting their usual earnings, while entitled to good compensation therefor, must not expect to get a fortune out of the guilty party. Mrs. Langley was laid up by an accident, and was deprived temporarily of earning \$9 a week, as was her wont. Twelve jurymen, with that lavish liberality often noticeable in people who are not spending their own money, offered her as compensation \$6,000 of the money of the railway company that hurt her, but the judges intervened and said that was far too large a sum. And where a railway company carried a lady of the name of Marshall beyond the station at which she wished to alight, and she had to pay \$1.50 to reach her desired haven, and lost three hours of her valuable time in getting there, the judges would not let her keep the \$750 which the jurors of Missouri in their ardor and gallantry gave her. Too much, the impas-

sive judges said. Yet, in such a case, the fair claimant may, to influence the verdict of the jury, show that there was no conveyance to be had at the place where the railway left her, that she had to walk several miles, over dusty roads, spending several hours tramping through the night; that she got wet crossing a creek, was chased by dogs, and otherwise frightened, and so with heat, and wet, and fright, and fatigue, was made sick. (48 N. Y. Super. Ct. 542; 78 Mo. 610; 94 Ind. 179.)

What sums sad and sorrowing survivors have received when women have been killed, is too mournful a subject to touch upon just now.—R. VASHON ROGERS in *Can. Law Journal*.

INSOLVENT NOTICES, ETC.

Quebec Official Gazette, August 25.

Judicial Abandonments.

Duncan H. McLeod, trader, township of Hampden, August 9.

Andrew Mulholland, plumber, Quebec, August 23.

Curatons Appointed.

Re J. E. Clement & Co.—Bilodeau & Renaud, Montreal, joint curator, August 21.

Re Grant, McConkey & Co., grocers.—J. McD. Hains, Montreal, curator, August 17.

Re Langlois & Co.—C. Desmarteau, Montreal, curator, August 21.

Re J. B. Raby.—Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, curator, August 21.

Dividends.

Re Téléphore Brassard, St. Chrysostome.—First dividend, payable Sept. 6, Bilodeau & Renaud, Montreal, curator.

Re F. Busières, St. André Avellin.—Dividend, payable September 12, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator.

Re Néré Desroches.—First and final dividend, payable September 12, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator.

Re James Gannon.—First and final dividend, payable September 12, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator.

Re Guillaume Gariépy.—Dividend 16½ per cent., payable September 9, H. A. A. Brault and O. Dufresne, Montreal, joint curator.

Re Joseph Guay, trader, St. Paul's Bay.—First dividend, payable September 6, H. A. Bedard, Quebec, curator.

Re David Rioux, trader, Trois-Pistoles.—Second and final dividend, payable September 6, H. A. Bedard, Quebec, curator.

Separation as to property.

Annie Kinnear vs. Andrew B. Somerville, clerk, township of Leeds, August 21.

Marie S. E. Massé vs. Joseph Pontbriand, Sorel, August 18.

Herminie Provencher vs. Ferdinand Poirier, contractor, Montreal, August 17.