

"boy" in the least bit, I would call you an impudent—No, I am mistaken, your action has since shown us that you do merit the title you have so kindly awarded us.

Let us compare your article in the March No. with that of the January issue. I have explained for Mr. Magill and myself why, under title of Canadian notes or "Great Barrier affair," we have given information to the philatelic public, thus as you based your second criticism on the fact that Mr. Magill had not done so, you owe him and myself a public apology.

Let us now glance at the lines that follow the criticism alluded to:—Happy change in two months time; you now call Mr. Magill a gentleman and I a youth, I know exactly why; Mr. Magill's photo has appeared in the Adhesive and mine in the Ohio Philatelist, and seeing that he could not, if he now

tried, let people believe we were "kids" without being denied, he has changed his plan of campaign, and after all the falsities in the January No., he says, he hopes I will yet make my mark in journalism, that I possess ability, (?) etc., etc.

He also puts in italic that I cried "*mea culpa*." When and where? —I don't know, surely not in the "Jubilee" or the "Exchange."

I find it kind of queer that Mr. Wurtele, Jr., is not at all in sympathy with his "senior," thus James says its against his own will the article was inserted, he also offered to sell me cuts which he guaranteed, had been used by the forger, at the rate of 25c each. He also told me the name of the printer who had printed them for Rach, etc., etc.

"By their actions ye shall know them."

C. E. A. HOLMES.

LATEST

Since "M. R." has gone to press Mr. Wurtele, Jr., has paid us a visit in which he stated that it was a mistake Mr. Holmes had made when stating he was only 16, as he was one year older. He wishes us to state that he does not remember stating that the cuts he offered Mr. H. were those used by the forger, as they are still in the hands of the

printer. Mr. C. E. A. Holmes on his side wishes us to say that Mr. Wurtele, Jr., and he are best of friends and that no more on the subject of the criticism in "M.P." will be alluded to, in other words that now that the truth is known that they will bury the hatchet, "not in each other's head."

THE PUBLISHER.