als

 $\mathbf{n}\mathbf{d}$

ate

ner

eir

he

of

nd

or-

es-

ot

eat

 \mathbf{ed}

air

he

IC-

de

on

st

nt

C-

 \mathbf{d}

it ly used prerogative of the Court of Chancery. What was in early times a powerful weapon in the hands of the Crown against riotous assemblies and threatened lawlessness was invoked in 1868 by an English court as a remedy against industrial disturbances. Since the Civil War the American courts in rapidly increasing numbers have used this weapon, and the Damascus blade of equity has been transformed into a bludgeon in the hands even of magistrates of inferior courts.

The prime objection which labor urges against this use of the injunction is that it deprives the defendant of a jury trial when his liberty is at stake. The unions have always insisted that the law should be so modified that this right would accompany all injunctions growing out of labor disputes. Such a denatured injunction, however, would defeat the purpose of the writ; but the union leader maintains, on the other hand, that he is placed unn disadvantage, when an employer can for his own aid in an industrial dispute . and sure arm of a law originally intended t: for a very different purpose. The imprisonment of Debs during the Pullman strike for disobeying a Federal injunction brought the issue vividly before

¹ Springfield Spinning Company vs. Riley, L. R. 6 Eq. 551.