

Feed Grains

to this point of danger last summer under their system when massive purchases were being made and Washington had no idea how large they were.

That is the kind of situation the producers of the east are going to face if the Canadian Wheat Board loses its jurisdiction over the operation of feed grains in western Canada.

Let me say one thing more. The Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce (Mr. Gillespie) got up in this House of Commons—and it is referred to in this resolution—and moved for export control. The hon. member for Swift Current-Maple Creek (Mr. Hamilton) pointed out—and I commend him for it—that the Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce stood up in the House of Commons and moved export controls on rye, rapeseed and flaxseed going into the world market. The hon. member found that ludicrous, and I found it ludicrous, but we found it ludicrous for different reasons. I know the hon. member for Swift Current-Maple Creek is not going to support putting flaxseed, rapeseed and rye under the Canadian Wheat Board so that they know what they have under domestic control and what goes to the export market.

The same problem arises in the marketing of feed grains. You have to know your supplies and have orderly marketing in terms of that supply. You cannot talk about supervision on the part of the Canadian Wheat Board; you cannot talk about supervision by that board of flaxseed, rapeseed and rye and the free movement of grain in Canada under the protection of the Winnipeg Grain Exchange. If that is not calling for doing away with the jurisdiction of the Canadian Wheat Board, I will never know what is.

You cannot talk out of both sides of your mouth at the same time. If the Conservatives cannot play the game together, it is going to catch up to them. Some Conservative indicated that at some future date such and such is going to happen. When this minister makes his announcement on policy I am waiting with as eager anticipation for the reaction of the loyal opposition as I am for the announcement. This minister has got himself in a situation like Neville Chamberlain when he went to Munich and signed the Munich agreement.

An hon. Member: He was a Tory!

Mr. Knight: He went to Munich and said "Peace in our time". We know what happened. This minister said "Orderly marketing in our time," and if he goes through with what he has been suggesting we will have the furthest thing from it that we could possibly have.

This is the situation which has developed and this is why we have had this debate tonight. To the Conservatives and Liberals who say they do not know why they are debating, I say that is why. This House knows where this party stands.

Mr. Bell: Move a motion and we will vote on it.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order. Pursuant to section 13 of Standing Order 26, I am satisfied that the debate has been concluded. I therefore declare the motion carried.

This House, accordingly stands adjourned until this afternoon at two o'clock, pursuant to Standing Order 2(1).

At 1.08 a.m. the House adjourned, pursuant to Standing Order.