

There are a few hon. gentlemen on both sides of the house who went over to the front, and I can say that there is no one in the whole world who wants peace more than the men who were at war. Yet the hon. gentleman talks about peace as if Canada were preparing for war. Well, after listening to a lot of this balderdash—

Mr. WOODSWORTH: Mr. Chairman—

Mr. MANION: —I have copied down—

Mr. WOODSWORTH: Mr. Chairman, I ask for your ruling as to whether that expression used by the minister—balderdash—is parliamentary or not.

Mr. MANION: It is the best I can think of.

Mr. WOODSWORTH: I ask that the word be withdrawn.

Mr. MANION: It is at least better than the word humbug, which is so often used around this house.

Mr. WOODSWORTH: I ask that the word be withdrawn.

The CHAIRMAN (Mr. Stewart, Lethbridge): I fancy that the minister did not apply that word to the hon. gentleman personally.

Mr. WOODSWORTH: I do not understand the English language if he did not, and I ask that he withdraw it.

Mr. MANION: I do not withdraw it, because I apply it to what the hon. gentleman has said in many of his speeches. It is a description that can be given his speeches.

Mr. WOODSWORTH: I rise to a point of order. I claim that the word is unparliamentary and the minister should withdraw it.

Mr. MANION: I submit it is not unparliamentary. It is as good a word as humbug or rubbish, and either one of those words would be applicable to a great deal of what the hon. gentleman has said.

Mr. WOODSWORTH: I ask for your ruling, Mr. Chairman.

The CHAIRMAN (Mr. Stewart Lethbridge): I must rule that the minister applied the word to the hon. gentleman's opinions.

Mr. WOODSWORTH: That is not a ruling. I appeal against the ruling of the chair.

Mr. MANION: That is the way the hon. gentleman takes his medicine. He sees it is nearly eleven o'clock—

Mr. WOODSWORTH: I appeal against the ruling of the chair.

Mr. MACKENZIE (Vancouver): Call it eleven o'clock.

Mr. MANION: I should like to put on record—

Mr. WOODSWORTH: I have appealed against the ruling of the chair.

The CHAIRMAN (Mr. Stewart, Lethbridge): Does the committee desire that I state the case or will the committee vote without having it stated?

Mr. MACKENZIE (Vancouver): I think, Mr. Chairman, that once the ruling of the chair is appealed against the rules are plain that the chairman must state the case in writing.

Mr. MANION: If it will save time, I will withdraw the word. I would rather sleep than spend the time in voting. I know how the vote will go. I withdraw the word if it will permit me to put on record certain figures I have here. I was going to give the cost per capita of various countries for defence purposes. Here are the figures:

Canada	\$ 1 24
New Zealand	1 50
Denmark	2 18
South Africa	2 20
Australia	2 40
Japan	3 70
Belgium	4 10
United States	5 60
Switzerland	6 00
Italy	8 00
Great Britain and Northern Ireland	9 50
France	14 80

Canada is the lowest in the world. Even Switzerland spends \$6 per capita, and I cannot remember from my historical reading when she was ever in a war; yet Canada, with an expenditure of \$124, is talked about as if she were getting ready for a war. I am sure that all nations are trembling as to what we shall do with this great military power of ours.

We talk about the treatment of our people, and we hear a great deal about the wickedness of capitalism. Well, there is only one country that has tried anything but capitalism and that is Russia. And Russia has had in the last year at least 5,000,000 people who have starved to death.

Mr. MACKENZIE (Vancouver): And they have the biggest air force in the world.

Mr. MANION: Yes, and some of the greatest military defences of all kinds. No one realizes more than I do that there have been some