

Health as to the best method of treatment. Mr. Downey added that this notification was intended to be quite confidential; that there would be no placarding, and therefore no publicity. He said that there was no provision at present in law for the removal of indigent tubercular patients by Boards of Health. Several of the medical members of the House spoke on the Bill, expressing approval of its main ideas, though differing somewhat in details. Mr. R. R. Gamey declared, from the experience he had had in his own family, that he did not believe that the people would object to compulsory notification, or any other means having a tendency to ameliorate conditions, and claimed that the Province should even go further than the Bill provided. Dr. Godfrey, member for West York, said that he considered that, in view of the different opinions held upon this subject, a very careful investigation should be made of the entire subject before the Bill was finally passed. Sir James Whitney, however, put both feet down upon the Bill in toto. He took the stand that no patient should be taken from his own doctor who diagnosed the case and "given over to a bunch of printed matter." Sir James said, most emphatically, that he would just as soon trust the ordinary physician to deal with a case of tuberculosis as any specialist he knew of, and he went on to say that he did not propose to make it possible for any poor man's home to be broken into under the pretext of benefit to the community at large. The Bill, therefore, received a hoist.

W. A. Y.