this dispute is still only argument. The danger is that it may turn to worse. While there is yet time we must agree by the way or disaster may fall upon us.

The war has given a peculiar intensity to this controversy. People contrast their past hard times with the "prosperity" of the war, under which there is work for all at high pay and where the plain people. the working people, in spite of all taxes, all rationing, all artificial shortnesses, receive more not only in money but in goods than they ever did in peace. They feel that the end of the war will bring an end to this, that they will be back again on low wages, with unemployment all around them, the black care of a narrow home and the cold and insufficient charity of a dole. This gives them a sense that they have been cheated, that there was plenty there all the time but that the rich took it all and left them nothing. This also makes it seem that the people were cheated after the last war; that soldiers came back to poverty; that the rich closed up their ranks: that brotherhood was over and servitude began again.

This belief easily leads to the further conclusion that the poor have always been cheated, that the world's work has always been carried on by the weak under the compulsion of the strong. The slave became a serf, the serf became a factory hand—but