

A THANKSGIVING DAY SONG.

A PATRIOTIC POEM BY THE ARCHBISHOP OF HALIFAX.

On Thanksgiving day an entertainment was given at Mount St. Vincent, one of the very best educational convents in Canada, situated on Bedford Basin, about four miles from Halifax. On the occasion a song written by the Archbishop of Halifax was sung, which we quote from the Halifax Herald:—

SWEET CANADA OF OURS, Let other nations sing the past, And ancient glories dead, Their sons their glances must backward cast. While ours shall look ahead; No deeds of rapine stain our page, Thy path is hedged with flowers, Thy greatness comes not from war's rage, Sweet Canada of ours.

CHORUS:— Sweet Canada, sweet Canada, sweet Canada, Sweet Canada of ours, Sweet, sweet Canada of ours.

An ocean laves thy eastern shore, An ocean on thy west; The breakers dash with harmless roar Against thy rock-girt breast; Thus angry nations vain shall chafe, And threaten our peaceful bowers, Thy gallant sons shall guard thee safe, Sweet Canada of ours.

CHORUS:— Sweet Canada, etc. All nations girdled in thy belt, The sun of empire thou, The Gaul and Saxon, Gael and Celt, Weave wreaths to deck thy brow; And thou dear motherland dost smile, And hope glints on thy towers, To hear from thy forests meanwhile, Sweet Canada of ours.

CHORUS:— Sweet Canada, etc. The charm of Spring some Empires boast; In summer's light some shine; But Autumn of the world—his host Of garnered fruit and less With these shall end the human race, With this earth's form an i' dowers, The falling sun shall gild thy face, Sweet Canada of ours.

CHORUS:— Sweet Canada, etc. Let other nations sing the past, And ancient glories dead, Their sons their glances must backward cast, While ours shall look ahead; Revenants rot that anarchy, not less Time other States devour, But thou shalt spread Time's funeral pall, Sweet Canada of ours.

CHORUS:— Sweet Canada, etc. One Archbishop of Halifax, a man brilliantly gifted and great in his way, gave material aid to the cause of Confederation, and he gave it frankly as a statesman and as a churchman, though he brought no personal pressure to bear on his people. It is fitting, therefore, that another, not less gifted, perhaps with a greater refinement of true culture, should sing the hopes entertained by every patriot, in these grateful strains.

THE MAAMTRASSA REVELATIONS.

MR. PARNELL'S GREAT SPEECH.

During the debate on the address, in a forcible speech, exhaustive of the facts of the case, Mr. Harrington moved his amendment as follows:—

Humbly to assure her Majesty that it is the opinion of a vast number of the Irish people that the present method of administering the law in Ireland, more especially under the Crimes Act, has worked manifold injustice, and in the case of the prisoners tried for the Maamtrasna murders has led to the execution of an innocent man and to the conviction of four other persons equally innocent; and this house humbly assures her Majesty that it would ensure much greater confidence, in the administration of the law in Ireland if a full and public inquiry were granted into the execution of Myles Joyce, and the continued incarceration of Thomas Joyce, Patrick Joyce, Martin Joyce and John Casey.

Mr. Corbett seconded the amendment. Mr. Parnell was received with cheers by the Irish members on rising to support the amendment proposed on by Mr. Harrington. He said: "I think, sir, it would be proper for me in the commencement of what I have to say upon the amendment of my hon. friend the member for Westmeath, to draw the attention of the house to what that amendment really asks. One would suppose from the line of the orator, and the body taken by the two Government speakers, that we were asking the house, here, with all the difficulties attending a Parliamentary investigation into judicial proceedings, to do away with and annul the verdict under which three men were executed, and under which five men are now suffering penal servitude for life. Neither we nor the amendment ask for that. We simply ask for an inquiry (hear, hear), and in making out our case for the inquiry we have brought forward evidence which is evidently too strong for the Government defences (hear, hear)—since they say, or practically admit, or lead us to suppose, that if this inquiry be granted, the reversal of that verdict will necessarily follow (hear, hear). Apart from the strength of our case, or nature of the evidence that we should be prepared to bring before the tribunal or body which we ask this house to appoint for the investigation of this important matter, we claim that if any reliance is to be placed for the future upon the plea of credit of a responsible Minister of the Crown—if in our debate, we can take the word of a Minister of the Crown to mean what they were evidently intended to mean when uttered, that the Government are bound by all the considerations of honor and of regard for their word, to give that inquiry, or some inquiry, which we now ask from this house (hear, hear). It is true that the noble lord the Secretary of State for War, upon the two separate occasions when his promises were made in the late hours of almost the last day of last session, did guard himself by an "if." He protected himself from pledging himself definitely to any particular inquiry, but he did promise us most definitely some inquiry into this matter (hear, hear) if the allegations which were made by my hon. friends in the debate which were produced by his two speeches turned out to be correct. On the first occasion when the subject was raised on the motion for going

into committee on the Appropriation Bill the noble marquis wound up his statement to the house by this declaration:—"If the statements appear to be vouched for in the manner I have described inquiry will be made." The only condition was that the statements should be vouched for in the manner he had described (hear, hear), and the manner in which those statements were to be vouched for was that his Grace the Archbishop of Tuam should vouch for the statement of facts as detailed, that evening by my hon. friends in debate. On the second occasion when my hon. friends, desirous of having some clearer statement or pledge from the noble lord, recurred to the matter on the same evening when the house had gone into committee, the noble lord said he refrained from absolutely promising that it was premature to say what would be the statements vouched for by the Archbishop of Tuam or any other person who might make representations to the Government. All he could venture to say was that the Irish Government would take any representation made to them into consideration, and if the facts appeared to be as they had been alleged to be that night, that then inquiry should be made. The only condition attached to granting some inquiry was that the facts should be found on inquiry to be as stated by my honorable friend. These facts had reference to statements made before the Archbishop by one of the informers, and of the confession by this informer that all he swore on this trial was false, perjured, and dictated by a desire to save his life; and it is impossible for us to believe that the noble marquis, when making that statement, did not absolutely intend that if it was found that the facts as stated by my hon. friend were correct, a full, fair, and impartial inquiry would have been granted into this most grave and important question (Irish cheers). I believe the issues involved in this matter are more important than any matter ever brought by my hon. friends before the house, and I feel confident that the conviction is gaining in strength day by day that some of the Irish Government officials have very good reasons why they consider that this inquiry should not be granted (Irish cheers). They know that if the dying depositions of the two guilty men who were executed in Galway Jail two years ago—depositions which admitted their own guilt and bore the strongest testimony to the innocence of Myles Joyce (hear, hear) and of four out of the five who were convicted—they know that if these depositions were produced that the house would be under the necessity of granting the motion (Irish cheers). Why have these depositions been withheld? (Irish cheers.) The right hon. gentleman the late Chief Secretary to the Lord Lieutenant is very anxious that these depositions should not be produced, and he is so anxious that he has actually stooped to misrepresent the statement of the Prime Minister to this house (Irish cheers). He sought in his speech to pin the Prime Minister to a statement which he never made (Irish cheers). He said that the Prime Minister had refused to grant the depositions. The Prime Minister never did so (Irish cheers). He was appealed to in an off-hand manner by one of my hon. friends, and he said, in reply, that it was not usual to "grant them" (Irish cheers). But he never actually refused to grant them—he never did what he was represented to have done by the late Chief Secretary for Ireland—refused to grant them; and until he does absolutely refuse I will refuse to believe that he will do so (Irish cheers). I believe that if it had not been for the hypocrisy which he so great and so good a man as Earl Spencer (romantic Irish cheer)—if it were not for the apprehension that so great and good a man as Earl Spencer had made a mistake—and a fatal mistake—we should have had the production of these depositions (Irish cheers). Falling in the line, he had an inquiry into the case of Kilmartin—an inquiry first of all refused to us by the late Chief Secretary (Irish cheers), and which was afterwards granted to us in the absence of the right hon. gentleman by the noble lord the Secretary of State for War, with the result that Kilmartin, who had been sentenced to penal servitude was released and restored to his family (Irish cheers). Now, sir, I believe we should have had this inquiry, and I must protest against the course of the right hon. gentleman the late Chief Secretary for Ireland. He almost let the cat out of the bag in an eloquent and powerful passage in his speech when he gave the house to understand (for no other inference could be derived from that passage) that if the Lord Lieutenant had made a mistake—and the mistake in question involved the life of one person and the liberties of others—that if the Lord Lieutenant had made mistakes, he (the Chief Secretary) would feel "consolation"—that was his expression—for these mistakes by the fact that he had allowed the hon. gentleman to put down crime and outrage, uphold the "majesty of the law," and restore "law and order" (Irish cheers). I say that if that is the position of the Chief Secretary, no more fatal mistake was ever made by any predecessor of his than to suppose that they can ever obtain the respect of the Irish people for law and order until they thoroughly purify and purge their tribunals from the suspicion of partiality and injustice, which cases like this must throw upon them. Until then it is impossible to suppose that the Irish people can have that confidence in law and order, and can have that desire to uphold law and order in Ireland which you will never be able to obtain—certainly never even with such a Government as that now in power (Irish cheers). Now, I wish to say that our case rests, not as represented by the late Chief Secretary, solely on the testimony of an informer and a murderer, who admitted formerly on the trial that he was a murderer, and now admits again that he is a murderer, and who desires to unsay what he has said. Our case rests not upon this, but upon other grounds. It is pure irony of the right hon. gentleman to say, and it is misleading the house of him to say, that our case

rests on the evidence of Casey, the informer. I say, throw away the informer Casey. Put his evidence out of the case altogether; disregard it, as the Lord Lieutenant now wishes to do; put him on one side, and I say we have as strong and unanswerable a case as we had in Casey at all. We have the evidence of three "independent witnesses" themselves—its probability; its inconsistency with the evidence of the informers; the impossibility of the alleged case of identification on the dark night of the murder; the physical impossibility of the story being true. These were amongst the grounds on which they based their case. I'll say, standing here, that I could see through the wall opposite, no person here would believe me. This trial was held a distance from the locale of the murder. Some ten days were given for counsel for the accused to prepare the defence. The journey to the place involved a whole day's railway traveling, and portions of the night by horse conveyance, and the only interpreters were the police, who were allowed to be used, described by the Solicitor General as one of the most celebrated in Ireland—only twenty guesses was allowed to enable him to travel all that journey and make his investigations in an Irish speaking district where the only interpreters were the police, who could not be used for the purpose. No proper plans were prepared, and the presence of such an aid was of the most vital importance, and it was impossible for counsel to test by cross examination the statement of the witnesses, one by one (the approver) swore that he was standing in the same place where the three "independent" witnesses swore they were at the time the alleged identification took place. An adjournment for re-examination of the district after the approver's evidence (which was absolutely new) was refused, nor was leave given to go into a rebutting case. Now I think I had better explain to the house, as there is considerable confusion as to names, what the Crown case was against the ten persons charged and what our case now is by the light of subsequent events. The Government case was that the murder was committed by ten men. Three of these men have been hanged, and the guilt of two was admitted; five of the ten were sentenced to penal servitude for life, and two of the ten were committed to the death of the gallows. One of the five who were sent to penal servitude, but we strenuously assert, and can prove on inquiry, the absolute innocence of the remaining four. We admit the guilt of one of the two approvers, but say that the second was innocent, that he was compelled by fear of death to confess that which was false. No motive was assigned at the trial by the Crown against any of these ten men. It was partly suggested that the murder was the outcome of a general conspiracy in Ireland and the result of an order from some secret Irish organization, but the survivors of the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder was committed by the names of two men, Kelly and Neer, were brought, and the number involved was twelve, but afterwards all the witnesses, independent and otherwise swore to ten. Now, on the other hand, we see it in our power to prove that the murder