

practices cannot be said not to have affected the result of the election, nor be reasonably supposed not to have done so. If the present return can be supported, owing to the large majority of 337, that would be to determine that in any case in which the successful candidate has a large majority it is useless to complain of any infringement of the law unless corrupt practices can be brought home to the candidate personally.

We find that the election of Alexander Robertson was void for corrupt practices by his agents; and we declare the election void, and order the costs of this petition to be paid by him.

(13 *Journal Legis. Assem.*, 1880, p. 7.)