

BY THE DEFENDING OFFICER.

- Q.13 Would you know if any man were absent from your platoon, as Platoon Sergeant?
A.13 I would if I were on that parade that day.
- Q.14 Would you just tell the Court again approximately how long it was you thought the accused was absent?
A.14 He was absent as long as I was in No. 1 Platoon and continued to be absent. Then I was transferred to No. 3 and I never heard of him getting back and it was never reported to me of him getting back.

CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE DEFENDING OFFICER.

- Q.15 Sergeant Craik did you call the roll every day?
A.15 Yes, Sir.
- Q.16 You called it every day during the time you were with the platoon?
A.16 Yes, Sir.
- Q.17 Were you absent any weekends?
A.17 I would be absent when I was on some other duties.
- Q.18 Who took over your platoon when you left on the 9th of April?
A.18 Corporal Dykeman and also with the platoon Corporal Howard.
- Q.19 That was still the same platoon, did you not go on draft that time?
A.19 It was, Sir.
- Q.20 The same platoon?
A.20 Yes, Sir.

THE PROSECUTOR DECLINES TO RE-EXAMINE THIS WITNESS.

IN THE OPINION OF THE COURT IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO COMPLY WITH RULE OF PROCEDURE 83 (B).

WITNESS WITHDRAWS.

Third Witness
for
the prosecution

G-28364 Lance Corporal R. C. DUNFIELD, No. 70 Canadian Army (Basic) Training Centre, C.A. being duly sworn, is examined by the prosecutor.

- Q.21 Will you tell the Members of the Court who you are?
A.21 G-28364 L/Cpl. R. C. Dunfield, No. 70 Canadian Army (Basic) Training Centre.
- Q.22 Where do you work?
A.22 Corporal of the Provost at No. 70 C.A. (B) T.C.
- Q.23 Do you know the accused?
A.23 Yes, Sir.