

DECEMBER 10TH, 1914.

STIMSON v. BAUGH AND PROCTOR.

*Contract—Promissory Note—Partnership—Liability—Fraud—
Findings of Fact of Trial Judge—Appeal.*

Appeals by both defendants from the judgment of MIDDLETON, J., 6 O.W.N. 264.

The appeal was heard by MEREDITH, C.J.O., GARROW, MACLAREN, MAGEE, and HODGINS, JJ.A.

J. M. Clark, K.C., for the appellant Baugh.

C. Kappele, for the appellant Proctor.

J. B. Clarke, K.C., for the plaintiff, respondent.

THE COURT dismissed both appeals with costs.

DECEMBER 9TH, 1914.

REX v. WILLIAMS.

Criminal Law—Evidence—Accomplice—Corroboration.

Case reserved and stated by the Senior Judge of the County Court of the County of Carleton, under sec. 206 of the Criminal Code.

The accused was tried on a charge of having committed an act of gross indecency with another male person during the month of February, 1914. There was a similar charge against the accused in respect of a brother of the same person, and a case was reserved by the learned trial Judge in respect of each charge, the following questions being submitted by him for the opinion of the Court:—

(1) Was the person with whom the offence was committed an accomplice?

(2) If he was an accomplice, was it essential to the validity of the conviction that his evidence should be corroborated?

(3) If corroborative evidence was necessary, was such evidence given?

The case was heard by MEREDITH, C.J.O., GARROW, MACLAREN, MAGEE, and HODGINS, JJ.A.