has not, since the nomination of one of them to a situatien in the Civil Service, appointed a new attorney, even though the office held by the one be incompatible with the practice of his profession. The mandate of the other still continues, and the party is represented by him. Taschercau. J.

8th November, 1871.

Cook vs. Millar.—Calling a case from the roll of enquête is no useful proceeding therein, such as will prevent preemption d'instance. Stuart, J.

Gugy vs. Brown.—A duplicate declaration is equivalent to a certified true copy. Meredith, C.J.

14th November, 1871.

George Sylvesler, insolv. & N. Sanders & al. partners.—Held, that according to arts. 1698 and 1899 of the Civil Code of Lower Canada, in a case of insolvency, the revendication must be made within fifteen days after the sale, and also within eight days from the delivery of the goods revendicated. Meredith, C.J.

27th November, 1871.

Gauthier vs. Amyot.—Held, that a party has a separate recourse against each of those who have contributed to the publication of a libel against him, but he can have but one satisfaction. Meredith, C.J.

Fraser vs. Pouliot, and Lavoie Interst.—Held, that an intervening party must serve his petition in intervention on all the parties in the case, as well those who have not appeared as those who have. The Court has the power of extending the delay of three days allowed for such service. The grounds of interventions should be served on both Plaintiff and Defendants. Meredith, C.J.

9th December, 1871. Cassavant vs. Pattenaude.—When the grounds urged by the affidavit for a capias are that the Defendant has concealed or is concealing his estate, debts and effects, no reasons in justification thereof are necessary. Taschercau, J.

Poulet vs. Larivière.—To compel a witness to attend, his expenses to go and return must be tendered him. Taschereau, J.

11th December, 1871.

Mantha vs. Coghlan.---When a party is entitled to demand security for costs, he may either present his petition in vacation within the four days, or give notice within such delay, and move at the ensuing term. Stuart, J. (after consulting Taschereau J.)

29th December, 1871.

Grace vs. Crawford.—Held, that the master of a foreign vessel who domiciled out of the Province, was temporarily within its limits with his ship at the time the action was brought, is bound to give security when Plaintiff. Meredith, C.J.

Anderson vs. Wurlele.—Held, that no action lies against an assignee under the Insolvent Act, to resiliate a lease made to the Insolvent prior to his insolvency, on the ground that the premises are not garnished with sufficient moveables to secure the rent. Taschereau, J.

111