practising race discrimination, and to make the need of the recipients the primary criterion for economic assistance. The ministers gave less support to the use of aid to promote exports, but more to the combating of Communism. Their political sensitivity was displayed in their relatively high support for domestic cohesion as an objective of aid policy, and the related strengthening of ties with La Francophonie and the Commonwealth. Politicians may be more inclined than bureaucrats to shade their responses to cater to the presumed views of the interviewer, and several volunteered that they would go further to meet Third World demands if they thought public opinion would tolerate it. Nevertheless, especially in view of the strong statements by the Prime Minister, it seems regrettable that Canada's Third World policies are closer to those advocated by the bureaucracy than by our Cabinet respondents.

The only non-governmental élite interviewed was a group of 36 academic specialists in international relations. They proved less likely than the Ottawa élite to concur that peace depends upon closing the rich-poor gap, and, perhaps in consequence, fewer of them attached importance to using aid to promote stability. They were the least likely of our sub-élites to agree that Canada's aid has been essentially altruistic, but approached CIDA in their support for a doubling of the program, and were relatively strong in support of cutting tariffs on Third World exports. On most points, academic views did not differ greatly from those of the politicians and officials.

The interviews were conducted late

in 1975 and early in 1976 - before of shock of November 15, 1976. It would w comforting to believe that Ottawa percipeaking tions have evolved in the interim, and help b now more sympathetic to the needs of excelle LDCs. With the persistence of Canadinglis economic tribulations, however, and French renewed threat of domestic disintegratifuden this hardly seems likely. Canadian livery fa standards remain very high by glore on standards, and we consume more than ware good for our health, to say nothing of mess, souls. Worry about the threat to nationat is unity is understandable, but obsessiountri with the problem can be counterprodenowle tive. One way to counteract the canadi trifugal forces is to shift attention awnations from domestic issues, which frequenturope divide, and to concentrate on the gloare as concerns that can only be tackled eff Th tively if all Canadians pull together. sion in the postwar years, the so-called "goldhaye ha decade" of Canadian diplomacy, Canadoarts contribution to international causes walmost second to none. A revival of its intersiasm, tionalist vocation could strengthen pritimes in Canada and support for its centrexhibit institutions as the obvious means to masiasm an impact upon world problems. French

To be internationalist in the launders Seventies, however, means to contributorovok seriously to the establishment of equital dians economic conditions for all peoples - Québec help bridge the North-South gap that part t the greatest scandal of our time. This wmarkal not happen without cost. Since the Otta of the policy-making élite does not perceive witnes vital Canadian stake in this cause, it wconsider have to be persuaded to act by a manife Canad tation of popular demand far exceedi quarte anything we have yet seen.

> latent the re of the

> ments are e

and u certai have v quite

relatio

Ambig

The a

Valér

gover

denti

in to

ment

Geor

equiv

twee

mari

norm

What does the future hold for Canada-France relations?

By Neil B. Bishop

What does the future hold for relations between Canada and France? In order to answer this question, it would be helpful to know the present state of these relations. Canada is one of the many countries

Dr Bishop did his graduate work in French-Canadian literature. He is at present doing postgraduate research in Marseilles. The views expressed here are those of Dr Bishop.

with which France has fairly close con tacts, but its relations with France a among the most difficult to analyse an the most seriously threatened by later instability. Indeed, any discussion of the may be likened to a stroll across a stretch of quicksand.

This instability understandably su prises the average Canadian and the ave age Frenchman. It is, of course, the task of Quebecers and Frenchmen to describ the relations between their two group